QUESTIONNAIRE to be used for biennial reporting on the application of the IUU Regulation

Reporting period 2016-2017 (deadline for submission 30 April 2018)

Member State:	Estonia				
Organisation: Ministry of the Environment					
Date:	April, 2018				
Name, position and contact details of responsible official:	Mr Aare Tuvi, adviser, +372 626 0712				

May the Commission provide a copy of this questionnaire to other Member States?						
Yes: x						
Yes except for questions (list):	2.2 section d					
No:						

Please state your notified authorities under the IUU Regulation in accordance with: Article 15.2 (exportation of catches):

The Ministry of Rural Affairs

Article 17.8 (verification of catch certificates):

The Estonian Tax and Customs Board

Article 21.3 (re-exportation):

The Estonian Tax and Customs Board

Article 39.4 (nationals):

Environmental Inspectorate under the Ministry of the Environment

Section 1. Information on legal framework ¹	
Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2014-2015, has your adopted/modified national law or any administrative guides for the application of Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008 on illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing Regulation)?	Council
$\boxtimes Yes$ \square No	
If yes, please detail and provide copies or provide link to the official national data	abase
New Fishing Act was passed and old legal base was rearranged. 01.09.2015, on the subsection 107^4 (2) of the Government of the Republic Act the words 'Min Agriculture' have been replaced with the words 'Ministry of Rural Affairs' in the applicase form.	istry of
https://www.riigiteataja.ee/en/eli/ee/Riigikogu/act/528012016001/consolide	
Section 2. Information on administrative organisation ²	
2.1. Does your country have different authorities/services to deal with the implement the IUU Regulation?	ation of
$\boxtimes Yes$ \square No	
2.2. If different authorities/services are involved, please distinguish between:	
2.2. If different authorities/services are involved, please distinguish between:the control of direct landings of third country fishing vessels;	
• the control of direct landings of third country fishing vessels; Environmental Inspectorate	
Environmental Inspectoratevalidation of catch certificates upon exports;	
• the control of direct landings of third country fishing vessels; Environmental Inspectorate	
 the control of direct landings of third country fishing vessels; Environmental Inspectorate validation of catch certificates upon exports; 	
 the control of direct landings of third country fishing vessels; Environmental Inspectorate validation of catch certificates upon exports; The Ministry of Rural Affairs 	

¹ This section 1 is to be filled-in by all Member States i.e. coastal and landlocked Member State. ² This section 2 is to be filled-in by all Member States i.e. coastal and landlocked Member State.

• validation and verifications of re-exports.

The Estonian Tax and Customs Board Governmental Decree of10.12.2009 No. 550 According to the (https://www.riigiteataja.ee/akt/13244107) the other competent state authorities are as follows: 2 The Estonian Tax and Customs Board is the competent authority pursuant to Article 16 (1), Article 14 and Article 21 of the Council Regulation (EC) No. 1005/2008 and authorised to confirm import and re-export catch certificates. The Environmental Inspectorate is the competent authority pursuant to Article 6 and Article 8 of the Council Regulation (EC) No. 1005/2008 and authorised to carry out catch documentation validation at landings. The Ministry of Rural Affairs is the competent authority pursuant to Article 15 (1) of the Council Regulation (EC) No. 1005/2008 and authorised to confirm export catch certificates.

a) internal co-operation (between local/regional authorities and head-quarter);

Please explain and describe this cooperation:

Internal co-operation is centralised and no any local/regional IUU fisheries authorities.

b) co-operation with other authorities and allocation of tasks for various authorities in the implementation of the IUU Regulation (Fisheries, Health, Customs, Coast Guard, Navy, etc.);

According Governmental Decree of10.12.2009 550 to the No. (https://www.riigiteataja.ee/akt/13244107) the other competent state authorities are as follows: 2 The Estonian Tax and Customs Board is the competent authority pursuant to Article 16 (1), Article 14 and Article 21 of the Council Regulation (EC) No. 1005/2008 and authorised to confirm import and re-export catch certificates. The Environmental Inspectorate is the competent authority pursuant to Article 6 and Article 8 of the Council Regulation (EC) No. 1005/2008 and authorised to carry out catch documentation validation at landings. The Ministry of Rural Affairs is the competent authority pursuant to Article 15 (1) of the Council Regulation (EC) No. 1005/2008 and authorised to confirm export catch certificates.

c) how many officials are involved in the implementation of the catch certification scheme?

In the Estonian Tax and Customs Board, in the Ministry of Rural Affairs and in the Ministry of Environment, 14 officers* are involved in the process of assessment of catch certificates.

*FTE full time units

d) Do the authorities of your country have the possibility to audit/verify a company for the purposes laid down in the IUU Regulation?

$\boxtimes Yes$	\square No	
•	country have freezones/freeports ³ in whic portation/processing of fishery products are authorized	
$\boxtimes Yes$	\square No	
•	possible to perform above-mentioned activition activition along with the issue now.	es, but there are no one
	ormation on direct landings and transhipment ishing vessels ⁴ (including information or	
3.1. Does your cour	ntry have designated ports for direct landings or as and port services of third country fishing ves	
\square Yes	oxtimes No	

https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/business/customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones_en
https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones_en
https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones_en
https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones_en
https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones_en
https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones_en
https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones_en
https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones_en
https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones_en
https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs-procedures/what-is-importation-free-zones_en

States. Landlocked Member States should not fill in this section.

⁶ Please note that ports designated under Regional Fisheries Management Organisations must also be designated under the IUU Regulation with restrictions if necessary (species etc.)

If yes, please list your country's designated ports (including ports designated under Regional Fisheries Management Organisations requirements) and answer to questions 3.2. to 3.7.:

No any designated ports for direct landings or transhipment operations.

3.2. How many landings and transhipments in designated ports of third country vessels have been recorded by your country between 1 January 2016 until 31 December 2017? How many inspections has your country carried out and how many infringements have been detected?

Please fill-in the table below (2016):

No any designated ports for direct landings or transhipment operations.

Inspections of third country vessels in Member States ports (2016)									
Type of				Flag of	the third	l countr	y vessel(s)*	
operation	Vessels	Figures (2016)	Ex. NO	FS1	FS2	FS3	FSx	Total	
	Non-EU	Number of landings						0	
Landings	vessels using MS desig- nated ports	Number of inspections						0	
Lanc		% of inspections / landings						0	
		Number of infringements						0	
nts	Non-EU vessels	Number of transhipments in ports						0	
Transhipments	using MS	Number of inspections						0	
Frans	desig- nated	% of inspections / transhipments						0	
	ports	Number of infringements						0	

^{*}Use ISO Alpha-2 country codes

Inspections of third country vessels in Member States ports (2017)									
Type of			Flag of the third country vessel(s)*						
operation	Vessels	Figures (2017)	Ex. NO	FS1	FS2	FS3	FSx	Total	
	Non-EU	Number of landings						0	
lings		Number of inspections						0	
Landings	desig- nated	% of inspections / landings						0	
	ports	Number of infringements						0	
nts	Non-EU	Number of transhipments in ports						0	
hipme	vessels using MS	Number of inspections						0	
Transhipments	desig- nated	% of inspections / transhipments						0	
L	ports	Number of infringements						0	

^{*}Use ISO Alpha-2 country codes

3.3. From the figures above, in the cases where your country detected infringements by third country vessels between 1 January 2016 and 31 December 2017, please specify the flag, the vessel's name, the type of infringement and the measures taken (Article 11 of the IUU Regulation).

Please fill-in the table below (2016):

Flag of the third country vessel*	Name of the third country fishing vessel	Type of infringements	Measures taken
FS1			
FS2			
FSx		Not applicable	Not applicable

^{*}Use ISO Alpha-2 country codes

Please fill-in the table below (2017):

Flag of the	Name of the third	Type of infringements	Measures taken
third country	country fishing		
vessel*	vessel		

FS1										
FS2										
FSx	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable							
*Use ISO Al	*Use ISO Alpha-2 country codes									
_		blems with third count) and 7 (authorisation) of t	ry fishing vessels when the IUU Regulation?							
\square Yes	oxtimes No									
If yes, please	detail the nature of the p	problems:								
In 2016:										
In 2017:										
	vities of landing or trans	-	s to a fishing vessel for port cts based on the conditions							
\square Yes	oxtimes No									
No any desig	nated ports for direct la	ndings or transhipment op	erations.							
If yes, please flags:	e detail the nature of the	problem, the number of v	vessels concerned and their							
In 2016:										
In 2017:										
•	•	vessel landings in transit [Article 19.3 of the IUU l	in your country with final Regulation]							
\square Yes	oxtimes No									
No any desig	nated ports for direct la	ndings or transhipment op	erations.							
If yes, please	indicate the number of l	landings in transit per year	:							
In 2016:	In 2016:									

In 2017:

3.7. In order to determine the cases for port inspection, does your country use risk assessment criteria [cf. benchmarks for port inspections, Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No1010/2009]?						
\square Yes	oxtimes No					
⊠ Not applice	able (e.g. in the absence of l	andings/transhipments from third countries)				
If yes, please	detail:					

Section 4. Information on catch certification scheme for $\underline{importation}$ for the purpose of the IUU Regulation⁷

4.1. How many <u>catch certificates</u> from non-EU countries were presented to the authorities of your country from 1 January 2016 until 31 December 2017?

Flag State (non-EU)	2016	2017
AR	2	2
CA	44	95
CN	180	246
EC	2	4
EE	5	1
ES	10	
FO	7	3
FR	1	2
GB	1	
GL	11	11
ID	5	7
IS	9	8
KR	7	2
MR	1	2
NO	36	25
NZ	7	9
PE	14	28
PG		2
RU	18	34
SC		2
TH	112	178
TW	6	1
US	20	26
UY		4
VN	38	58
Total	536	750

_

⁷ Section to be filled-in by all Member States. Article 2.11 of the IUU Regulation – "importation means the introduction of fishery products into the territory of the Union, including for transhipment purposes at ports in its territory"

4.2	. From	the	number	above	, how	many	recognised	RFMO	catch	certificates	accompanied
	import	ts int	o your c	ountry	? Plea	se deta	il per RFM	O certifi	cate ai	nd year.	

RFMO document	2016	2017
ICCAT (electronic)- bluefin tuna catch document	0	0
Dissostichus spp. (CCAMLR)	0	0
CCSBT CDS	0	0
Total	0	0

4.3. Has your country 2017?	received processing state	tements from 1 January 2010	5 until 31 December
\boxtimes Yes	\square No		

If yes, how many <u>processing statements</u> under Article 14.2 accompanied imports into your country? If possible, please provide details per year and per processing country. Please only report processing statements received from non-EU countries:

Processing non-EU	2016	2017
State		
AL	17	29
CN	8	7
CO	2	
EC		4
ES		1
IS	1	
KR	2	
NO	1	2
TH	10	11
VN		1
Total	41	55

4.4. Please indicate if the information catch certificates is retain	Formation in <u>processing statements</u> referring to the corresponding and recorded:
$\boxtimes Yes$	\square No
\square Not applicable (e.g. countries in 2016-2017)	in the absence of processing statements received from non-EU

4.5.	Has your country	received requests to authoris	e APEO ⁸ s in 2016-2017?
	\square Yes	oxtimes No	
	If yes, how many authorised?	requests has your country	received and how many APEOs have been
	Has your country APEO in 2016-201	adopted administrative rules	referring to the management and control of
	\square Yes	$\boxtimes No$	
	⊠ Not applicable	(e.g. absence of APEO requ	uest)
	If yes, please deta	il:	
	Has your country 2016 until 31 Dece	-	ates for products imported from 1 January
	$\boxtimes Yes$	\square No	
	If yes, how man destination country	· •	ease detail per year and, if possible, per
	Destination country (non- EU)	2016	2017
	TH		8
	Total		8
	Does your country certificate actually		which your country has validated a re-export
	\square Yes	$\boxtimes No$	
	□ Not applicable 2017)	e (e.g. in the absence of vo	alidation of re-export certificates in 2016-
	If yes, please deta	il:	

4.9. Has your country established any IT tools to monitor the catch certificates and processing statements accompanying imports?

⁸ Approved Economic Operators – IUU Regulation, Article 16 and Implementing Regulation (EC) 1010/2009, Chapter II

	\boxtimes Yes	\square No		
]	If yes, does it include a r	module for re-exportation of imported catches	?	
	$\boxtimes Yes$	\square No		
,	The certificates and all c	other documents are recorded as pdf files.		
	Does your country imp point of entry or the place	lement the provisions regarding transit under ce of destination?	r Article 19.2 a	it the
i	\Box At the point of entry implemented	\square At the place of destination		Not

Section 5.	Inform	ation on catch certi	fication scher	ne for exportation ⁹	
-		y established a prohes from own vessel		validation of catch certific e with Article 15?	ates for
\boxtimes Yes		\square No			
□ □ <i>Not</i> in 2016-2		le (e.g. in the absenc	ce of validation	n of catch certificates for exp	portation
If yes, ple	ease expla	in briefly the establi	shed procedure	e and answer questions 5.2 to	5.5.
crossche landings register accorda. Fishing given ye the certi. 5.2. Has your Yes If yes, he Decembe	ecks of complete, sales not the Mince with the Segment ar; e.g. Efficate by the country of the c	atch certificate data otes etc data. Certinistry (some metada he suggestions from (national segmentations). The document registe alicated catch certificates dictional certificates dic	ta against flee ficates are regita + pdf-files) the Commission of the Sequence Nore. The Sequence Nore. The Sequence of the Sequence Nore.	ne Ministry of Rural Affairs wet register, permit data, longistered in the electronic distributed in the electronic distributes are number (ISO-3 code of Flag State). Certificate Sequence Number is automatically attributed in 2016-2017? The validate from 1 January 20 or requesting third country/codes.	ogbooks, ocument bered in (EST) – ber in a buted to
D 4	4.		Y	'ear	
Destin Sta	te*	2016		2017	
Total		102		101	
5.3. Has you			tool to monito	or the catch certificates valid	lated for

⁹ Section to be filled-in by flag Member States.

Certificates are registered in the electronic document register

5.4. Does your country certificates actually	monitor that the catches for which your country has validated catch leave the EU?
\square Yes	oxtimes No
certificates actuall	fural Affairs does not monitor whether catches declared with catch by leave the EU, but control authorities have the capability to crosscates with the customs declarations and transport documents.
□ Not applicable (2016-2017)	e.g. in the absence of validation of catch certificates for exportation in
5.5. Has your country ro 31 December 2017?	efused the validation of a catch certificate between 1 January 2016 and
\boxtimes Yes	\square No
\square Not applicable (exportation in 2016	(e.g. in the absence of request for validation of catch certificates for -2017)
insufficient data (require incorrectly) or incons	Affairs has refused the validation of catch certificates in the case of ired fields of the catch certificate are not filled in or are filled in sistencies revealed by the cross-check of data. The Ministry of exporter of the discrepancies, who then has the possibility to send ate.
If yes, please detail:	
Number (per year): Reason: insuffici Follow-up: corrected co	ent data or inconsistencies revealed by the cross-check of data
	tion on verifications of catch certificates for importation 7.1 to 5 of IUU Regulation 10
•	established a procedure for verification of catch certificates for rdance with Article 17.2?
products have be consignments are	□ No ried out by the Estonian Tax and Customs Board. CN codes of fishery ten entered into Customs risk assessment tool SELECT, all the sent into the "yellow channel" for document control. A specially is 8 Division official ensures an active support in CC verifications for

¹⁰ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

"yellow channel" declarations processing customs officers, then collects pdf-files with catch certificates all documents and forwards them to the single liaison officer from the Ministry of the Environment. Ministry of the Environment assists the Customs Board and carries out ex-post evaluation, and analysis by excel sheets is done thereafter. The actions are taken, if needed.

6.2. How many catch certificates have been verified by your administration from 1 January 2016 until 31 December 2017? Please specify, separately for each year:

Flag State of origin (EU or non-	Number of verifications 2016		Number of verifications 2017		
EU)					
	No of basic document- based verifications ¹¹	No of in-depth verifications ¹²	No of basic document- based verifications	No of in-depth verifications	
AR	1	1	1	1	
CA	34	10	65	30	
CN	120	60	186	60	
DK	1		1		
EC	1	1	3	1	
EE	5	5	1		
ES	7	3			
FO	5	2	2	1	
FR	1		2		
GB		1			
GL	8	3	8	3	
ID	3	2	4	3	
IS	3	4	5	3	

-

¹¹ See fields CC1 to CC6 (*Preliminary overview checks "helicopter view"*) of the EFCA Common methodology for IUU catch certificates verification and cross-checks.

¹² See fields CC7 to CC32 (*Verify and cross-check information related to the form, flag state, validating authority, fishing vessel, product(s), transhipment operations*) of the EFCA Common methodology for IUU catch certificates verification and cross-checks.

Flag State of origin (EU or non-	Number of	verifications	Number of verifications		
EU)	2016		2017		
	No of basic document- based verifications ¹¹	No of in-depth verifications ¹²	No of basic document- based verifications	No of in-depth verifications	
KR	2	5	1	1	
MR	1		1	1	
NO	26	10	15	10	
NZ	5	2	6	3	
PE	10	4	14	14	
PG			1	1	
RU	10	8	24	10	
SC			1	1	
TH	70	42	100	78	
TW	3	3	1		
US	15	5	13	13	
UY			2	2	
VN	19	19	38	20	

6.3. Does your country use a risk assessment approach for verification of catch certificates in accordance with Article 17?

Yes	$\boxtimes I$	Vo

No. 100% documentary check

All import declarations (IM7 ja IM4) must be accompanied with relevant Catch Certificates and other documents like CC Annex IV, bills of ladings, invoices, health certificates, etc. Import declarations are lodged electronically only into Customs Control System with subsequent 100% obligatory control through risk management control channel (yellow corridor). If a need for CC closer control and/or verification is encountered, customs officer directs suspect declaration to appointed Customs official, who performs further investigations, the goods still being under customs surveillance nor being released into free circulation until the finalisation of all controls.

• •		nil (e.g. EU o 09); EFCA risl					ommission
6.4. Does your	country	also physically	verify the	e consignment	s?		
\boxtimes Yes		\square No					
If yes, plea	se detail:	Very rarely					
Number (pe	er year):	1 control in 20	016				
Method	of	selection:	risk	analysis	in	yellow	channel
		documents we	-		ant upon	request.	
Section 7.	Verificat	tion requests	to flag Sta	ites ¹³			
7.1. Has your of to other con		ent requests fo thorities in 20		ions under Art	icle 17.6	of the IUU	Regulation
$\boxtimes Yes$		\square No					

If yes, how many requests for verifications? *Note: please provide separate data for 2016 and 2017*:

Flag States	No of requests for verifications 2016	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation)-	No of requests for verifications 2017	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation
VN	3	17.4 a	1	17.6 b
TH	2	17.6 b	2	17.6 b
CA	3	17.6 b	3	17.6 b
US	2	17.6 b	3	17.6 b
FO	1	17.6 b	2	17.6 b
NO	3	17.6 b	2	17.6 b
RU	4	17.6 b	2	17.6 b
Total	18		15	

¹³ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

_

within the deadli these situations	ne provided in Article 17.6 of the IUU Regulation? Does your country in send a reminder to the authorities of the country in question? [Please data for 2016 and 2017]
20160	
20170	
7.3. Was the quality	of the answers provided overall sufficient to satisfy the request?
$\boxtimes Yes$	\square No

Section 8. Information on refusal of importations (Article 18 of the IUU Regulation)¹⁴

8.1. Has your country refused any imports from 1 January 2016 until 31 December 2017? Note: please only consider refusals based on the IUU Regulation, not for other reasons e.g. Food Safety, Customs legislation, etc.

 \square Yes \boxtimes No

If yes, please provide details in the table below:

Reason for refusal of	2016		2017		
importation	Flag State	No.	Flag State	No.	
Non-submission of a catch certificate for products to be imported.		0		0	
The products intended for importation are not the same as those mentioned in the catch certificate.		0		0	
The catch certificate is not validated by the notified public authority of the flag State		0		0	
The catch certificate does not indicate all the required information.		0		0	
The importer is not in a position to prove that the fishery products comply with the conditions of Article 14.1 or 2.		0		0	
A fishing vessel figuring on the catch certificate as vessel of origin of the catches is included in the Union IUU vessel list or in the IUU vessel lists referred to in Article 30.		0		0	
The catch certificate has been validated by the authorities of a flag State identified as a non-cooperating State in accordance with Article 31		0		0	
Further to the request for verification (Article 18.2)		0		0	

¹⁴ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

_

8.2. If the answer to 8.1 is yes, what measures were taken by your authoric refused fishery products?	ties towards the
8.3. In case of refusal of importation, did the operators contest the decision of your country?	of the authorities
\square Yes \square No	
If yes, please detail:	
Section 9. Information on trade flows ¹⁵	
9.1. Did your country note a change ¹⁶ of imports of fishery products reporting exercise covering the period 2014-2015?	s since the last
$\boxtimes Yes$ $\square No$	
If yes, please detail:increase of number of certificates	
9.2. Please provide information, deriving from your country's statistical change of trade patterns in imports of fishery products into your country:	data, concerning
Tax and Customs Board	
Section 10. Information on mutual assistance ¹⁷	
10.1. Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2014-2015, ho assistance messages of the Commission has your country replied to?	w many mutual
Please provide separate data for 2016 and 2017 (if any)	
2016 ca 10	
2017ca 10	
10.2. Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2014-2015, has yearny mutual assistance message to the Commission/other Member States?	•
Please provide separate data for 2016 and 2017 (if any)	
20162	
20172	

¹⁵ Section to be filled-in by all Member States
16 For example: new kinds of fishery products, new trade patterns or significant and sudden increase in trade volume for a certain species and/or certain third countries.
17 Section to be filled-in by all Member States

Section 11. Information on cooperation with third countries ¹⁸	
 11.1. Apart from verifications and refusals under Articles 17 and 18, has your of information exchange with third countries on issues related to the implement IUU Regulation, such as follow-up of cases concerning nationals, consigning flows, operators, private fishing licencing, as well as the investigation of activities and serious infringements (Article 42)? □ Yes ⋈ No 	ation of the nents, trade
If yes, please detail (please provide separate data for 2016 and 2017, if any.	
Section 12. Information on nationals ¹⁹	
12.1. Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2014-2015, has you implemented or modified existing measures to ensure that your country appropriate action with regards to nationals involved in IUU fishing in accordance 39 of the IUU Regulation?	y can take
$\boxtimes Yes$ $\square No$	
If yes, please detail:Fishing Act was modified	
12.2. What measures has your country taken to encourage nationals to notify any on interests in third country vessels (Article 40.1)?	information
No any	
12.3. Has your country endeavoured to obtain information on arrangements between and third countries allowing reflagging of their vessels in accordance with Art	
\square Yes $\boxtimes \square$ No	
If yes, please detail:	
12.4. If yes to any of the above, how many cases have your country dealt with administrative or penal follow-up was given?	and which
Please provide details:	
12.5. Has your country put in place procedures to ensure that nationals do not se any fishing vessels to operators involved in the operation, management or over fishing vessels included in the Union IUU vessel list (Article 40.2)?	-
\square Yes \boxtimes No	
If yes, please provide details:	

¹⁸ Section to be filled-in by all Member States
19 Section to be filled-in by all Member States

12.6	i. Has your country regimes or under to ownership of fishin	Union fund	s to operat	ors involved	l in the ope	eration, ma	
	\square Yes	$\boxtimes No$)				
	Estonia does not grant any public aid under national aid regimes or under Community funds to operators involved in the operation, management or ownership of fishing vessels included in the Community IUU vessel list. <u>Union regulation is directly applicable.</u>						
ļ	If yes, please detail				WW. D		10:14:
	Section 13. In (Chapter X of the	ifringemen IUU Regul	ts (Chapto ation) ²⁰	er IX of the	e IUU Regi	ulation) an	d Sightings
13.1	. Has your country Regulation from 1.			-		Article 42	of the IUU
	\square Yes	$\boxtimes Nc$)				
	If yes, please detail and sanctions appli	-	for each y	ear the numb	er of seriou	ıs infringen	nents, nature
	Flag State of the vessel or nationality of		ious infrir letected in	_		rious infrin detected in	_
	the operator (EU and non-EU)	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied
		0			0		
		0			0		
		0			0		
		0			0		
	Total	0 0					
13.2	2. Has your country a with Article 44?	applied or a	dapted its l	levels of adm	ninistrative	sanctions ir	accordance
	\boxtimes Yes	\square No	•				

²⁰ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

If yes, please detail:

https://www.riigiteata	ja.ee/en/eli/ee/Riig	gikogu/act/528012016001/consolide

§ 73 (27,38.43, 44, 71,72).

13.3. Has your country	issued sighting re	eports from 1 J	anuary 2016 u	ntil 31 December 2	2017?

 \square Yes \boxtimes No

If yes, how many sighting reports were issued by your country from 1 January 2016 until 31 December 2017?

Flag State of the sighted vessel (EU and non-EU)	No of sighting reports issued in 2016	No of sighting reports issued in 2017
	0	0
	0	0
	0	0
	0	0
Total	0	0

								2014-2015,			
receive	ed an	ıy sig	thting repo	rts for its	own vesse	els fr	om othe	er competent	auth	orities	?

	Yes	\bowtie	No
-	100		110

If yes, please detail follow-up (in accordance with Article 50 of the IUU Regulation).

......

Section 14. General

14.1. In the reporting period 2016/2017, what have been the main difficulties that your country has encountered in implementing the IUU Regulation, including the catch certification scheme?

Requirement to issue UA export certificates.....

14.2. Which improvements would your country suggest to the Regulation that would make implementation smoother?

Port State Control document and catch certificate should be equalised (same information).

Section 15.	Any other comments

• • •