# **QUESTIONNAIRE** for biennial reporting on the application of the IUU Regulation

### Reporting period 2016-2017

Member State:	Finland
Organisation:	Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry
Date:	27.4.2018
Name, position and contact details of responsible official:	Juha S. Niemelä, Director General Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Natural Resources Department, PO Box 30, 00023, GOVERNMENT Luonnonvaraosasto@mmm.fi

May the Commission	May the Commission provide a copy of this questionnaire to other Member States?					
Yes: Yes						
Yes except for questions (list):						
No:						

Please state your notified authorities under the IUU Regulation in accordance with:

**Article 15.2 (exportation of catches):** 

The Centre for Economic Development, Transport and the Environment for Southwest Finland (ELY Centre)

**Article 17.8 (verification of catch certificates):** 

The Centre for Economic Development, Transport and the Environment for Southwest Finland (ELY Centre)

**Article 21.3 (re-exportation):** 

The Centre for Economic Development, Transport and the Environment for Southwest Finland (ELY Centre)

**Article 39.4 (nationals):** 

**Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry** 

### Section 1. Information on legal framework<sup>1</sup>

Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2014-2015, has your country adopted/modified
national law or any administrative guides for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008
on illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing (IUU Regulation)?

 $\square Yes \qquad \qquad \boxtimes No$ 

If yes, please detail and provide copies or provide link to the official national database

#### Section 2. Information on administrative organisation<sup>2</sup>

2.1. Does your c	ountry have	different au	thorities/service	s to dea	l with th	e implementation	of the	IUU
Regulation?								

 $\square Yes \qquad \qquad \boxtimes No$ 

- 2.2. If different authorities/services are involved, please distinguish between:
  - the control of direct landings of third country fishing vessels;
  - validation of catch certificates upon exports;
  - verification of catch certificates for imports under direct landing;
  - verification of catch certificates for imports arriving by other means than fishing vessels (e.g. by containers, trucks);
  - validation and verifications of re-exports.
  - a) internal co-operation (between local/regional authorities and head-quarter);

Please explain and describe this cooperation: The Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry supervises, steers and coordinates the control of the CFP. The ELY Centre for Southwest Finland has independent powers to decide for catch certificate issues. In practise, The Ministry and the ELY Centre meet bilaterally as well as together with food safety authorities and/or Customs authorities to discuss matters related to IUU tasks. The Ministry and ELY Centre cooperate also with IT Department of National Land Survey that is in charge of the development of the electronic catch certificate system.

The Ministry carried out an audit visit to the ELY Centre 24 November 2017 on IUU issues.

b) co-operation with other authorities and allocation of tasks for various authorities in the implementation of the IUU Regulation (Fisheries, Health, Customs, Coast Guard, Navy, etc.);

Please explain and describe this cooperation: Customs are an integral part of the process for verification of the catch certificates of the imported products. The original catch certificate must be presented to the Customs together with other import documents. ELY Centre receives the catch certificate electronically usually in advance for verification from the importer and the Customs send the original document to the ELY Centre afterwards. The Customs do not release the imported fish to free circulation before the ELY Centre has verified the catch certificate in the electronic system.

Normally Finnish Food Safety Authority (Evira) is not a part of the IUU process but it carries out simultaneously its own veterinary border inspection independently of IUU verification. Coordination is needed in case of direct landings from third country vessels but this kind of activity has not taken place in Finland for decades.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This section 1 is to be filled-in by all Member States i.e. coastal and landlocked Member State.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This section 2 is to be filled-in by all Member States i.e. coastal and landlocked Member State.

	c)	how many officials are	involved in the implementation of the catch certification scheme?
		Please specify the number	ber of officials expressed in Full Time Equivalent (FTE):
		Approximately 1,5 FTI	3
	d)	Do the authorities of purposes laid down in t	your country have the possibility to audit/verify a company for the the IUU Regulation?
		$\boxtimes Yes$	$\square$ No
			ny audits/verifications have they undertaken since the last reporting d 2014-2015? Please detail the results:
	100		checks and verifications in Articles 9 to 17 of the IUU Regulation r, no audits or verifications on the spot has been carried out for IUU
2.3.			have freezones/freeports <sup>3</sup> in which activities relevant to occessing of fishery products are authorised?
		$\Box$ Yes	$\boxtimes No$
Sec			on direct landings and transhipments of fishery products by third
			on direct landings and transhipments of fishery products by third ding information on port inspections and infringements) <sup>5</sup>
cou	ntr Do	y fishing vessels <sup>4</sup> (inclues your country have de	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
cou	Do pro	y fishing vessels <sup>4</sup> (inclues your country have de	ding information on port inspections and infringements) <sup>5</sup> esignated ports for direct landings or transhipment operations of fishery
cou	Do pro	es your country have de ducts and port services of Yes	ding information on port inspections and infringements) <sup>5</sup> esignated ports for direct landings or transhipment operations of fishery of third country fishing vessels (Article 5 of the IUU Regulation <sup>6</sup> )?
cou	Do pro	es your country have de ducts and port services of Yes  yes, please list your contrest Management Orgo de designation of ports for tect landings or tranships	esignated ports for direct landings or transhipment operations of fishery of third country fishing vessels (Article 5 of the IUU Regulation <sup>6</sup> )?
3.1.	If y Fish The Direction	es your country have de ducts and port services of Yes  yes, please list your coheries Management Orgo de designation of ports for ect landings or transhipme.  w many landings and to orded by your country be	ding information on port inspections and infringements) <sup>5</sup> esignated ports for direct landings or transhipment operations of fishery of third country fishing vessels (Article 5 of the IUU Regulation <sup>6</sup> )?  □ No enutry's designated ports (including ports designated under Regional ganisations requirements) and answer to questions 3.2. to 3.7.:  Third country fishing vessels is currently under reassessment in Finland.
3.1.	If y Fis The Dir time	es your country have de ducts and port services of Yes  yes, please list your coheries Management Orgo de designation of ports for ect landings or transhipme.  w many landings and to orded by your country be	esignated ports for direct landings or transhipment operations of fishery of third country fishing vessels (Article 5 of the IUU Regulation <sup>6</sup> )?    No   No   No   Indicate   No   Indicate   No   Indicate   Ind

 $<sup>^3\</sup> https://ec.europa.eu/taxation\_customs/business/customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones\_en$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Fishing vessels as defined in article 2.5 of the IUU Regulation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This section 3 refers to Chapter II (Articles 4 to 11) of the IUU Regulation and is applicable to coastal Member States. Landlocked Member States should not fill in this section.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Please note that ports designated under Regional Fisheries Management Organisations must also be designated under the IUU Regulation with restrictions if necessary (species etc.)

	Inspections of third country vessels in Member States ports (2016)							
Type of	Vessels	Figures (2016)		Flag of	f the third	d country	vessel(s)	*
operation	v esseis			FS1	FS2	FS3	FSx	Total
	Non-EU	Number of landings						0
ngs	vessels	Number of inspections						0
Landings	using MS designat	% of inspections / landings						0
1	ed ports	Number of infringements						0
nts	Non-EU	Number of transhipments in ports						0
nipme	vessels using MS designat	Number of inspections						0
Transhipments		% of inspections / transhipments						0
L	ed ports	Number of infringements						0

<sup>\*</sup>Use ISO Alpha-2 country codes

Inspections of third country vessels in Member States ports (2017)								
Type of	Vessels	Figures (2017)	Flag of the third country vessel(s)*					*
operation	, 52255	<b>g</b>		FS1	FS2	FS3	FSx	Total
	Non-EU	Number of landings						0
Landings	vessels using MS	Number of inspections						0
Lanc	designated ports	% of inspections / landings						0
	ports	Number of infringements						0
nts	Non-EU	Number of transhipments in ports						0
Transhipments	vessels using MS	Number of inspections						0
Fransl	designated ports	% of inspections / transhipments						0
		Number of infringements						0

<sup>\*</sup>Use ISO Alpha-2 country codes

3.3. From the figures above, in the cases where your country detected infringements by third country vessels between 1 January 2016 and 31 December 2017, please specify the flag, the vessel's name, the type of infringement and the measures taken (Article 11 of the IUU Regulation).

*Please fill-in the table below (2016):* 

Flag of the third country vessel*	Name of the third country fishing vessel	Type of infringements	Measures taken
FS1			
FS2			
FSx			

<sup>\*</sup>Use ISO Alpha-2 country codes

Please fill-in the table below (2017):

Flag of the third country vessel*	Name of the third country fishing vessel	Type of infringements	Measures taken
FS1			
FS2			
FSx			

<sup>\*</sup>Use ISO Alpha-2 country codes

3.4		problems with third country fishing vessels when implementing Articles prisation) of the IUU Regulation?
	$\square$ Yes	oxtimes No
	If yes, please detail the natu	are of the problems:
	In 2016:	
	In 2017:	
3.5		ur country denied access to its ports to a fishing vessel for port services, anshipment of fishery products based on the conditions of the IUU
	$\square$ Yes	oxtimes No
	If yes, please detail the natu	are of the problem, the number of vessels concerned and their flags:
	In 2016:	
	In 2017:	
3.6		ishing vessel landings in transit in your country with final destination in ticle 19.3 of the IUU Regulation]
	$\square$ Yes	oxtimes No
	TC 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	If yes, please indicate the m	umber of landings in transit per year:
	In 2016:	
	In 2017:	
3.7		ases for port inspection, does your country use risk assessment criteria spections, Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No1010/2009]?
	$\square$ Yes	$\square$ No
	⊠ Not applicable (e.g. in th	ne absence of landings/transhipments from third countries)
	If yes, please detail:	

# Section 4. Information on catch certification scheme for $\underline{importation}$ for the purpose of the IUU Regulation<sup>7</sup>

4.1. How many <u>catch certificates</u> from non-EU countries were presented to the authorities of your country from 1 January 2016 until 31 December 2017?

Flag State (non-EU)	2016	2017
CA	51	40
CN	19	0
CO	18	15
EC	4	8
FO	32	14
GL	2	1
ID	15	9
IN	1	0
IS	134	187
JP	1	0
KR	31	38
MU	28	27
MV	22	21
NI	1	0
NO	314	309
NZ	3	0
PA	19	24
PG	33	40
PH	90	108
RU	16	11
SB	1	0
SC	224	401
SV	42	44
TH	26	27
TW	50	94
US	38	67
Total	1215	1485

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section to be filled-in by all Member States. Article 2.11 of the IUU Regulation – "importation means the introduction of fishery products into the territory of the Union, including for transhipment purposes at ports in its territory"

4.2. From the number above, how many recognised <u>RFMO catch certificates</u> accompanied imports into your country? *Please detail per RFMO certificate and year*.

RFMO document	2016	2017
ICCAT (electronic)-bluefin		
tuna catch document	0	0
Dissostichus spp.		
(CCAMLR)	0	0
CCSBT CDS	0	0
Total	0	0

4.3. Has your country received	processing statements from 1 Janu	uary 2016 until 31 December 2017?
$\boxtimes Yes$	$\square$ No	
• • •	ls per year and per processing co	mpanied imports into your country? If buntry. Please only report processing
Processing non-EU State	2016	2017
SV	13	15
CN	21	24
MU	98	168
NO	39	18
PG	1	0
SC	37	27
TH	143	181
РН	0	3
Total	352	436
4.4. Please indicate if the info certificates is retained and		referring to the corresponding catch
$\boxtimes Yes$	$\square$ No	
$\square$ Not applicable (e.g. in 2016-2017)	the absence of processing statemen	nts received from non-EU countries in
4.5. Has your country received	requests to authorise APEO8s in 2	016-2017?
$\square$ Yes	$\boxtimes No$	

If yes, how many requests has your country received and how many APEOs have been authorised?

 $<sup>^8</sup>$  Approved Economic Operators – IUU Regulation, Article 16 and Implementing Regulation (EC) 1010/2009, Chapter II

4.6. Has your country adop 2016-2017?	oted administrativ	ve rules referring to the	management a	and control of APEO in
$\square$ Yes	$\boxtimes No$			
$\square$ Not applicable (e.	g. absence of AP	EO request)		
If yes, please detail:				
4.7. Has your country vali 31 December 2017?		ertificates for product	s imported fror	m 1 January 2016 until
$\square$ Yes	$\boxtimes$ No			
If yes, how many re country:	export certificat	es? Please detail per	year and, if po	ossible, per destination
Destination country (non- EU)		2016		2017
Third Country 1				
Third Country 2				
Third Country x				
Total				
4.8. Does your country mo actually leave the EU?		es for which your count	try has validated	d a <u>re-export certificate</u>
$\square$ Yes	$\square$ No			
⊠ Not applicable (e.	g. in the absence	of validation of re-exp	ort certificates	in 2016-2017)
If yes, please detail:				
4.9. Has your country estab	-	ols to monitor the catch	certificates and	l processing statements
$\boxtimes Yes$	$\square$ No			
If yes, does it include	e a module for re-	exportation of importe	ed catches?	
$\square$ Yes	$\boxtimes No$			
4.10. Does your country in entry or the place of		ovisions regarding tran	nsit under Artic	ele 19.2 at the point of
$\boxtimes$ At the point of en	try $\Box$	At the place of destin	ation	☐ Not implemented

Section 5.	Informat	tion on catch certification sch	heme for exportation <sup>9</sup>		
5.1. Has your country established a procedure for validation of catch certificates for exportation of catches from own vessels in accordance with Article 15?					
$\boxtimes Yes$	$\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No				
□ Not ap 2017)	oplicable (e	.g. in the absence of validation	on of catch certificates for exportation in 2016-		
If yes, ple	ase explain	briefly the established procedu	ure and answer questions 5.2 to 5.5.		
verifies th	e informatio he ELY Cer	on (fishing areas, fishing days	ELY centre by letter or e-mail. The ELY Centre and landings) using the IT system for fisheries and stamped certificate by letter or e-mail to the		
5.2. Has your	country vali	dated catch certificates for exp	portation in 2016-2017?		
$\square$ Yes		$\boxtimes No$			
	ossible, ple		y validate from 1 January 2016 to 31 December sting third country/country of destination in the		
Dogs	ination		Year		
	tate	2016	2017		
Third C	Country 1				
Third C	Country 2				
Third C	Country x				
Total					
	country est		itor the catch certificates validated for exports		
$\square$ Yes		$\boxtimes No$			
5.4. Does your country monitor that the catches for which your country has validated catch certificates actually leave the EU?					
$\square$ Yes		$\square$ No			
⊠ <i>Not ap</i> 2017)	$\boxtimes$ Not applicable (e.g. in the absence of validation of catch certificates for exportation in 2016-2017)				
5.5. Has your December	-	fused the validation of a cate	ch certificate between 1 January 2016 and 31		
$\square$ Yes		$\square$ No			
⊠ <i>Not ap</i> , in 2016-20		g. in the absence of request for	r validation of catch certificates for exportation		

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$  Section to be filled-in by flag Member States.

If yes, please detail:					
Number (per year): Reason:					
Follow-up:					
Section 6. Informate Article 17.1 to 5 of IUU		s of catch certific	cates for importation	on according to	
6.1. Has your country est accordance with Artic		e for verification of	f catch certificates for	or importation in	
$\boxtimes$ Yes	$\square$ No				
If yes, please detail: The IT system include following characterists. After the risk analysis with the EFCA community. How many catch cert 31 December 2017? If	des warnings and blics: vessel, fish specis in the IT system the on methodology.	ockades that autonies and country of one catch certificates erified by your adm	natically recognises rigin as well as impor are checked manual	for example the rter and exporter. ly in accordance	
Flag State of origin (EU or non-EU)	Number of v	verifications	Number of v	verifications	
(EC of non-EC)	20	16	2017		
	No of basic document-based verifications <sup>11</sup>	No of in-depth verifications <sup>12</sup>	No of basic document-based verifications	No of in-depth verifications	
Country 1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Country 2					
Country x Total					
6.3. Does your country use with Article 17?	e a risk assessment ap	pproach for verificat	ion of catch certificat	tes in accordance	
⊠ Yes	$\square$ No				

If yes, please detail (e.g. EU criteria for verifications (Article 31 of Commission Regulation 1010/2009); EFCA risk assessment methodology; national criteria).

The risk assessment in constructed in the IT system. In case the IT system gives a warning on a catch certificate it will be inspected deeper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Section to be filled-in by all Member States

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See fields CC1 to CC6 (*Preliminary overview checks "helicopter view"*) of the EFCA Common methodology for IUU catch certificates verification and cross-checks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See fields CC7 to CC32 (*Verify and cross-check information related to the form, flag state, validating authority, fishing vessel, product(s), transhipment operations*) of the EFCA Common methodology for IUU catch certificates verification and cross-checks.

6.4. Does your country also physically verify the consignments?					
$\square$ Yes	$\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No				
If yes, ple	ease detail:				
Method o	per year):f selection:p:				
Section 7.	Verification reques	ts to flag States <sup>13</sup>			
countries   × Yes	7.1. Has your country sent requests for verifications under Article 17.6 of the IUU Regulation to other countries authorities in 2016-2017?				
Flag States	No of requests for verifications 2016	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation)-	No of requests for verifications 2017	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation	
PH	2	17.6			
TH			1	17.6	
PA			7	17.6	
ES			1	17.6	
Total	2		9		
<ul> <li>7.2. How many requests for verification were not replied to by the other countries' authorities within the deadline provided in Article 17.6 of the IUU Regulation? Does your country in these situations send a reminder to the authorities of the country in question? [Please provide separate data for 2016 and 2017]</li> <li>2016 0</li> <li>2017 0</li> <li>7.3. Was the quality of the answers provided overall sufficient to satisfy the request?</li> <li>□ No</li> </ul>					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Section to be filled-in by all Member States

## Section 8. Information on refusal of importations (Article 18 of the IUU Regulation)<sup>14</sup>

8.1. Has your country refused any ir only consider refusals based on legislation, etc.	-			-
$\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$	No			
If yes, please provide details in	the table below:			
Reason for refusal of	2016		2017	
importation	Flag State	No.	Flag State	No.
Non-submission of a catch certificate for products to be imported.				
The products intended for importation are not the same as those mentioned in the catch certificate.				
The catch certificate is not validated by the notified public authority of the flag State				
The catch certificate does not indicate all the required information.				
The importer is not in a position to prove that the fishery products comply with the conditions of Article 14.1 or 2.				
A fishing vessel figuring on the catch certificate as vessel of origin of the catches is included in the Union IUU vessel list or in the IUU vessel lists referred to in Article 30.				
The catch certificate has been validated by the authorities of a flag State identified as a non-cooperating State in accordance with Article 31				
Further to the request for verification (Article 18.2)				
8.2. If the answer to 8.1 is yes, what products?	measures were taken l	y your author	rities towards the refu	sed fishery

13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Section to be filled-in by all Member States

8.3. In case of country?	f refusal of importation, did the operators contest the decision of the authorities of your
$\square$ Yes	$\square$ No
If yes, ple	ease detail:
Section 9.	Information on trade flows <sup>15</sup>
	country note a change <sup>16</sup> of imports of fishery products since the last reporting exercise the period 2014-2015?
$\square$ Yes	oxtimes No
• •	ease detail: Trade volumes have been increasing steadily. Especially catch certificates from ber states (e.g. Spain) have increased.
	ovide information, deriving from your country's statistical data, concerning change of trade n imports of fishery products into your country:
from 101 also aqua 65 million 2016, due neighbou	ume of imports in fishery products has remained quite stable during 2014-2017, varying million kg in 2016 to 116 million kg in 2014 (figures include trade from EU members and iculture and inland fishing products). By far the biggest country of origin is Norway (45–n kg) and low figure for Norway in 2016 explains the temporary drop in the total figure for to problems in the aquaculture sector. The next biggest sources of importation are the ring EU members Sweden, Estonia and Denmark, followed by Thailand, Mauritius, Germany and Canada.
variation. 292 000 k 1,1 millio Salvador	observation of the trade flows is that the changes are mostly explained by normal yearly. However, one can see, for instance, a steady growth in the import from Vietnam, from kg in 2014 to 660 000 kg in 2017. At the same time, import from China has decreased from on kg to 632 000 kg. Upward trends can be seen also for example in the figures for El (from 151 000 to 316 000 kg) and Ecuador (46 000 vs. 171 000 kg). A striking fact is that the treatment of the figures for El (from Peru has dropped to zero in 2015-2017, having been 2,4 million kg in 2014 (fish
Section 10.	Information on mutual assistance <sup>17</sup>
	ne last reporting exercise covering the period 2014-2015, how many mutual assistance es of the Commission has your country replied to?
Please p	provide separate data for 2016 and 2017 (if any)
20162	2
20175	5
	the last reporting exercise covering the period 2014-2015, has your country sent any mutual ce message to the Commission/other Member States?

Section to be filled-in by all Member States
 For example: new kinds of fishery products, new trade patterns or significant and sudden increase in trade volume for a certain species and/or certain third countries.
 Section to be filled-in by all Member States

Please provide separate data for 2016 and 2017 (if any)

2016...0

2017...0

Section 11. Information or	1 cooperation with third countries <sup>18</sup>
exchange with third countr as follow-up of cases cond	and refusals under Articles 17 and 18, has your country had information ries on issues related to the implementation of the IUU Regulation, such terning nationals, consignments, trade flows, operators, private fishing vestigation of criminal activities and serious infringements (Article 42)? $\bowtie No$
If yes, please detail (please	e provide separate data for 2016 and 2017, if any.
Section 12. Information or	n nationals <sup>19</sup>
modified existing measure	ercise covering the period 2014-2015, has your country implemented or s to ensure that your country can take appropriate action with regards to fishing in accordance with Article 39 of the IUU Regulation?
$\square$ Yes	oxtimes No
If yes, please detail:	
12.2. What measures has your interests in third country v	country taken to encourage nationals to notify any information on essels (Article 40.1)?
-	
	ured to obtain information on arrangements between nationals and third ing of their vessels in accordance with Article 40.4?
$\square$ Yes	oxtimes No
If yes, please detail:	
12.4. If yes to any of the above, or penal follow-up was given	how many cases have your country dealt with and which administrative ven?
Please provide details:	
	ace procedures to ensure that nationals do not sell or export any fishing lived in the operation, management or ownership of fishing vessels (vessel list (Article 40.2)?
$\square$ Yes	oxtimes No
If yes, please provide detail	ils:
	e of Article 40.3 and removed public aid under national aid regimes or rators involved in the operation, management or ownership of fishing ion IUU vessel list?
$\square$ Yes	oxtimes No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Section to be filled-in by all Member States<sup>19</sup> Section to be filled-in by all Member States

	If yes, please detail:						
	Section 13. Inf X of the IUU Regulat		s (Chapter IX	of the IUU	Regulation)	and Sighting	gs (Chapter
	13.1. Has your country detected serious infringements as defined in Article 42 of the IUU Regulation from 1 January 2016 until 31 December 2017?						
	$\boxtimes Yes$	$\square$ No					
	If yes, please detail s sanctions applied:	separately f	or each year	the number of	of serious in	fringements,	nature and
	Flag State of the vessel or nationality of the	S	erious infring detected in		Se	erious infring detected in 2	
	operator (EU and non-EU)	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied
	Finland	1	Art. 3.1.a	Case open			
	Finland	2	Art. 3.1.b	Cases open			
	Finland	1	Art. 3.1.c	Case open	4	Art. 3.1.c	Cases open
	Finland				1	Art 3.1.e	Case open
	Total		4			5	
13.2. Has your country applied or adapted its levels of administrative sanctions in accordance with Article 44?							
	$\square$ Yes	$\boxtimes No$					
	If yes, please detail: Please, note that the <u>suspected</u> serious infringements detected in many cases are in fact very minor infringements, such as exceeding of individual fishing quota by 1-5 species of salmon.						
13.3.	Has your country issue	ed sighting	reports from 1	January 201	6 until 31 De	ecember 2017	7?
	$\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No						

If yes, how many sighting reports were issued by your country from 1 January 2016 until 31 December 2017?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Section to be filled-in by all Member States

	sighted vessel (EU and non-EU)	issued in 2016	in 2017			
	unu non 20)					
	Country 1					
	Country 2					
	Country x					
	Total					
		g exercise covering the period 2014- own vessels from other competent au				
	$\square$ Yes	$\boxtimes No$				
	If yes, please detail follow-up (in accordance with Article 50 of the IUU Regulation).					
Section	on 14. General					
14.1. In the reporting period 2016/2017, what have been the main difficulties that your country has encountered in implementing the IUU Regulation, including the catch certification scheme?						
14.2. Which improvements would your country suggest to the Regulation that would make implementation smoother?						
Section	on 15. Any other	comments				

• • •