QUESTIONNAIRE to be used for biennial reporting on the application of the IUU Regulation

Reporting period 2018-2019 (deadline for submission 30 April 2020)

Member State:	Austria
Organisation:	Federal Office for Food Safety
Date:	March 2020
Name, position and contact details of responsible official:	

May the Commission provide a copy of this questionnaire to other Member States?				
Yes:	X			
Yes except for questions (list):				
No:				

Please check if your notified authorities under the IUU Regulation correspond with the latest version of the Official Journal:

https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52019XC0215(01)

Article 15.2 (exportation of catches):

Federal Office for Food Safety

Article 17.8 (verification of catch certificates):

Federal Office for Food Safety

Article 21.3 (re-exportation):

Federal Office for Food Safety

Please state your notified authorities under the IUU Regulation in accordance with:

Article 39.4 (nationals):

Federal Office for Food Safety

Section 1. Information on legal framework¹

Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, has your country adopted/modified national law or any administrative guides for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008 on illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing (IUU Regulation)?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

If yes, please detail and provide copies or provide link to the official national database

.....

Section 2. Information on administrative organisation	Section 2.	Information on administrative organisation
---	------------	--

2.1. Are there any changes as regards your administrative organisation since the last reporting exercise?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

2.2. *If yes, please fill in the following questions*. Does your country have different authorities/services to deal with the implementation of the IUU Regulation?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

2.3. If different authorities/services are involved, please distinguish between:

- the control of direct landings of third country fishing vessels;
- validation of catch certificates upon exports;
- verification of catch certificates for imports under direct landing;
- verification of catch certificates for imports arriving by other means than fishing vessels (e.g. by containers, trucks);
- validation and verifications of re-exports.
- a) vertical co-operation (between local/regional authorities and head-quarter);

Please explain and describe this cooperation: the assessment is done by the AGES GmbH (Austria Agency for Health and Food Safety), final decisions are made by the Federal Office for Food Safety (BAES).....

b) co-operation with other authorities and allocation of tasks for various authorities in the implementation of the IUU Regulation (Fisheries, Health, Customs, Coast Guard, Navy, etc.);

Please explain and describe this cooperation: we have a cooperation with the customs, which is available for our detailed questions and which send us the taken CC's.....

c) how many officials are involved in the implementation of the catch certification scheme?

Please specify the number of officials expressed in Full Time Equivalent (FTE): 3.....

d) Do the authorities of your country have the possibility to audit/verify a company for the purposes laid down in the IUU Regulation?

¹ This section 1 is to be filled-in by all Member States i.e. coastal and landlocked Member State.

² This section 2 is to be filled-in by all Member States i.e. coastal and landlocked Member State.

 \boxtimes Yes \Box No

If yes, which and how many audits/verifications have they undertaken since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017? Which authorities are responsible for audits/verifications? Please detail the results: The Federal Office for Food Safety as the competent authority has undertaken one verification of documents since the last reporting in connection with Anguilla Anguilla, because of an information from Germany (Food Control). However these products have been aquaculture products, which are not in the scope of Council Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008. We informed the Austrian customs.

2.4. Does your country have freezones/freeports³ in which activities relevant to importation/exportation/processing of fishery products are authorised?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

Section 3. Information on direct landings and transhipments of fishery products by third country fishing vessels⁴ (including information on port inspections and infringements)⁵

3.1. Please check if your list of designated ports correspond to the latest version of the Office Journal.

https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.C .2019.276.01.0011.01.ENG

3.2. Does your country have designated ports for direct landings or transhipment operations of fishery products and port services of third country fishing vessels (Article 5 of the IUU Regulation⁶)?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

If yes, please list your country's designated ports (including ports designated under Regional Fisheries Management Organisations requirements) and answer to questions 3.2. to 3.7.:

.....

3.3. How many landings and transhipments in designated ports of third country vessels have been recorded by your country between 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019? How many inspections has your country carried out and how many infringements have been detected?

Please fill-in the table below (2018):

Inspections of third country vessels in Member States ports (2018)								
Type of operation	Vessels	Figures (2019)		Flag of the third country vessel(s) ⁷				
operation	v csscis	Figures (2018)	Ex. NO	FS1	FS2	FS3	FSx	Total
n d i n	Non-EU	Number of landings	100					

³ https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/business/customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones_en

⁴ Fishing vessels as defined in article 2.5 of the IUU Regulation

⁵ This section 3 refers to Chapter II (Articles 4 to 11) of the IUU Regulation and is applicable to coastal Member States. Landlocked Member States should not fill in this section.

⁶ Please note that ports designated under Regional Fisheries Management Organisations must also be designated under the IUU Regulation with restrictions if necessary (species etc.)

⁷ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

	vessels using	Number of inspections	10			
	MS designat		10%			
	ed ports	Number of infringements	3			
ents	Non-EU	Number of transhipments in ports	2			
Transhipments	vessels using MS	Number of inspections	0			
[rans	designat ed ports	% of inspections / transhipments	0			
	cu ports	Number of infringements	0			

Please fill-in the table below (2019):

	Inspections of third country vessels in Member States ports (2019)							
Type of			Flag of the third country vessel(s) ⁸			8		
operation	Vessels	Figures (2019)	Ex. NO	FS1	FS2	FS3	FSx	Total
	Non-EU	Number of landings	100					
Landings	vessels using MS	Number of inspections	10					
Lanc	designated ports	% of inspections / landings	10%					
	ports	Number of infringements	3					
ints	Non-EU	Number of transhipments in ports	2					
hipme	vessels using MS	Number of inspections	2					
Transhipments	designated ports	% of inspections / transhipments	100%					
-		Number of infringements	0					

3.4. From the figures above, in the cases where your country detected infringements by third country vessels between 1 January 2018 and 31 December 2019, please specify the flag, the vessel's name, the type of infringement and the measures taken (Article 11 of the IUU Regulation).

Please fill-in the table below (2018):

Flag of the third country vessel ⁹	Name of the third country fishing vessel	Type of infringements	Measures taken
FS1			
FS2			
FSx			

Please fill-in the table below (2019):

Flag of the third country vessel ¹⁰	Name of the third country fishing vessel	Type of infringements	Measures taken
FS1			

⁸ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.
⁹ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.
¹⁰ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

FS2		
FSx		

3.5. Has your country had any problems with third country fishing vessels when implementing Articles 6 (prior notice) and 7 (authorisation) of the IUU Regulation?

 \Box Yes \Box No

If yes, please detail the nature of the problems and the measures taken:

In 2018:

In 2019:

3.6. Since January 2018, has your country denied access to its ports to a fishing vessel for port services, activities of landing or transhipment of fishery products based on the conditions of the IUU Regulation?

 \Box Yes \Box No

If yes, please detail the nature of the problem, the number of vessels concerned and their flags:

In 2018:

In 2019:

3.7. Do you have third country fishing vessel landings in transit in your country with final destination in another Member State? [Article 19.3 of the IUU Regulation]

 \Box Yes \Box No

If yes, please indicate the number of landings in transit per year:

In 2018:

In 2019:

3.8. In order to determine the cases for port inspection, does your country use risk assessment criteria [cf. benchmarks for port inspections, Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No1010/2009]?

 \Box Yes \Box No

□ Not applicable (e.g. in the absence of landings/transhipments from third countries)

If yes, please detail:

Section 4. Information on catch certification scheme for <u>importation</u> for the purpose of the IUU Regulation¹¹

4.1. How many catch certificates from non-EU countries were presented to the authorities of your country from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019? Please complete the following table by flag state validating the catch certificates, including in cases catch certificates are accompanied by processing statements.12

Flag State (non-EU) ¹³	2018	2019
Argentina	0	1
China	14	10
Ecuador	3	2
El Salvador	1	1
Ghana	17	1
India	8	13
Indonesia	1	7
Island	1	1
Canada	17	18
Korea	6	9
Maledives	1	1
Marocco	15	15
Nicaragua	1	1
Norway	18	32
Oman	20	0
Panama	6	7
Papua-New-Guinea	2	10
Philippines	54	32
Russia	0	1
Salomones	0	1
Serbia	0	2
Spain	11	5
Taiwan	3	8
Thailand	10	3
Tunesia	1	0
Turkey	7	6
USA	23	16

¹¹ Section to be filled-in by all Member States. Article 2.11 of the IUU Regulation – "importation means the introduction of fishery products into the territory of the Union, including for transhipment purposes at ports in *its territory*" ¹² If catch certificates are submitted only for transhipment purposes, please specify.

¹³ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

Flag State (non-EU) ¹³	2018	2019
Vietnam	30	39
Total	270	242

4.2. From the number above, how many recognised <u>RFMO catch certificates</u> accompanied imports into your country? *Please detail per RFMO certificate and year*.

RFMO document	2018	2019
ICCAT (electronic)-bluefin tuna catch document	-	-
Dissostichus spp. (CCAMLR)	-	-
CCSBT CDS	-	-
Total	-	-

4.3. How many <u>catch certificates</u> from EU Member States were presented to the authorities of your country from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019?

Flag State (EU)	2018	2019
Spain	11	5
Total	11	5

4.4. From the number above, how many recognised <u>RFMO catch certificates</u> from EU Member States accompanied imports into your country? *Please detail per RFMO certificate and year*.

RFMO document	2018	2019
ICCAT (electronic)-bluefin tuna catch document	-	-
Dissostichus spp. (CCAMLR)	-	-
CCSBT CDS	-	-
Total	-	-

4.5. Has your country received processing statements from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019?

 \boxtimes Yes \Box No

If yes, how many <u>processing statements</u> under Article 14.2 accompanied imports into your country? If possible, please provide details per year and per processing country.

Processing non-EU State ¹⁴	2018	2019
China	8	3
Ecuador	11	9

¹⁴ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

Processing non-EU State ¹⁴	2018	2019
Ghana	4	0
Indonesia	0	2
South-Korea	7	11
Morocco	8	0
Papua New Guinea	22	11
Serbia	13	6
Thailand	30	20
Turkey	1	2
Ukraine	0	1
Vietnam	15	1
Total	119	66

4.6. Please indicate if the information in <u>processing statements</u> referring to the corresponding catch certificates is retained and recorded:

 \boxtimes Yes \Box No

 \Box Not applicable (e.g. in the absence of processing statements received from non-EU countries in 2018-2019)

4.7. Has your country received requests to authorise APEO¹⁵s in 2018-2019?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

If yes, how many requests has your country received and how many APEOs have been authorised?

.....

- 4.8. Has your country adopted administrative rules referring to the management and control of APEO in 2018-2019?
 - \Box Yes \Box No

⊠ Not applicable (e.g. absence of APEO request)

If yes, please detail:

...

- 4.9. Has your country validated <u>re-export certificates</u> for products imported from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019?
 - \boxtimes Yes \Box No

¹⁵ Approved Economic Operators – IUU Regulation, Article 16 and Implementing Regulation (EC) 1010/2009, Chapter II

If yes, how many re-export certificates? Please detail per year and, if possible, per destination country:

Destination country (non- EU) ¹⁶	2018	2019
Switzerland	70	78
Total	70	78

4.10. Does your country monitor if the catches for which your country has validated a <u>re-export</u> <u>certificate</u> actually leave the EU?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

□ Not applicable (e.g. in the absence of validation of re-export certificates in 2018-2019)

If yes, please detail:

4.11. Has your country established any IT tools to monitor the catch certificates and processing statements accompanying imports?

 \boxtimes Yes \Box No

If yes, does it include a module for re-exportation of imported catches?

 \boxtimes Yes \Box No

4.12. Does your country implement the provisions regarding transit under Article 19.2 at the point of entry or the place of destination?

 \boxtimes *At the point of entry* \square *At the place of destination* \square *Not implemented*

¹⁶ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

Section 5. Information on catch certification scheme for exportation¹⁷

5.1. Has your country established a procedure for validation of catch certificates for exportation of catches from own vessels in accordance with Article 15?

 \Box Yes \Box No

 \square *Not applicable (e.g. in the absence of validation of catch certificates for exportation in 2018-2019)*

If yes, please explain briefly the established procedure and answer questions 5.2 to 5.5.

.....

5.2. Has your country validated catch certificates for exportation in 2018-2019 in accordance with Article 15?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

If yes, how many catch certificates did your country validate from 1 January 2018 to 31 December 2019? If possible, please provide details per requesting third country/country of destination in the following table:

Destination	Year		
State ¹⁸	2018	2019	
Third Country 1			
Third Country 2			
Third Country x			
Total			

5.3. Has your country established any IT tool to monitor the catch certificates validated for exports stemming from own vessels?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

5.4. Does your country monitor that the catches for which your country has validated catch certificates actually leave the EU?

 \Box Yes \Box No

 \boxtimes Not applicable (e.g. in the absence of validation of catch certificates for exportation in 2018-2019)

5.5. Has your country refused the validation of a catch certificate between 1 January 2018 and 31 December 2019?

 \Box Yes \Box No

¹⁷ Section to be filled-in by flag Member States.

¹⁸ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

 \boxtimes Not applicable (e.g. in the absence of request for validation of catch certificates for exportation in 2018-2019)

If yes, please detail:

Number (per year):	
Reason:	
Follow-up:	

Section 6. Information on verifications of catch certificates for importation according to Article 17.1 to 5 of IUU Regulation¹⁹

6.1. Has your country established a procedure for verification of catch certificates for importation in accordance with Article 17.2?

 \boxtimes Yes \Box No

If yes, please detail: according to the Calculator Risk Analysis (EFCA) we take samples; verification of all documents and we make requests to Third Country Authorities.

6.2. How many catch certificates have been verified by your administration from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019? Please specify, separately for each year:

Flag State of origin (EU or non-EU) ²⁰	Number of verifications		Number of verifications	
(200120220)	20	18	2019	
	No of basic document-based verifications ²¹	No of in-depth verifications ²²	No of basic document-based verifications	No of in-depth verifications
China		14		10
Ecuador		3		2
El Salvador		1		1
Ghana		17		1
India		8		13
Indonesia		1		7
Island		1		1
Canada		17		18
Korea		6		9

¹⁹ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

²⁰ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

²¹ See fields CC1 to CC6 (*Preliminary overview checks "helicopter view"*) of the EFCA Common methodology for IUU catch certificates verification and cross-checks.

²² See fields CC7 to CC32 (Verify and cross-check information related to the form, flag state, validating authority, fishing vessel, product(s), transhipment operations) of the EFCA Common methodology for IUU catch certificates verification and cross-checks.

Flag State of origin (EU or non-EU) ²⁰	Number of	verifications	Number of verifications	
	20	2018 2019		19
	No of basic document-based verifications ²¹	No of in-depth verifications ²²	No of basic document-based verifications	No of in-depth verifications
Maledives		1		1
Marocco		15		15
Nicaragua		1		1
Norway		18		32
Oman		20		0
Panama		6		7
Papua-New-Guinea		2		10
Philippines		54		32
Spain		11		5
Taiwan		3		8
Thailand		10		3
Tunesia		1		0
Turkey		7		6
USA		23		16
Vietnam		30		39
Argentinia		0		1
Russia		0		1
Salomones		0		1
Total		270		242

6.3. Does your country use a risk assessment approach for verification of catch certificates in accordance with Article 17?

 \boxtimes Yes \Box No

If yes, please detail (e.g. EU criteria for verifications (Article 31 of Commission Regulation 1010/2009); EFCA risk assessment methodology; national criteria).

EFCA Calculator Risk Analyses is used, alongside with experience, consideration of ongoing discussions and new informations from EC (mutual assistance), SLOs, EFCA.

6.4. Does your country also physically verify the consignments?

 \boxtimes Yes \Box No

If yes, please detail:

1.) according to the EFCA Calculator Risk Analysis; if the risk criteria is "5" a physical control is done. Re-Evaluation of risk criteria is scheduled.

2.) Physical control can be done any time if suspected cases occur, regardless of EFCA Calculator Risk Analysis.

Number (per year): 2018: 0; 2019:1..... Method of selection: Case of 2019 was a suspected case, reported by German authorities..... Follow-up: special in depth-control at the affected company with all following imports.

Section 7. Verification requests to flag States²³

7.1. Has your country sent requests for verifications under Article 17.6 of the IUU Regulation to other countries authorities in 2018-2019?

 \boxtimes Yes \Box No

If yes, how many requests for verifications? *Note: please provide separate data for 2018 and 2019*:

Flag States ²⁴	No of requests for verifications 2018	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation)-	No of requests for verifications 2019	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation
China	0		1	species/catch area and corresponding NPFC or SPRFMO registry questionable
India	3	unclear information regarding weights, transhipment date, validation date of CC, catching area	0	
Indonesia	1	CC not legibly, bad quality of scan; CC without stamps and address of authority	2	stamp of flagstate not legible, question about catch area
Marocco	1	stamp of flagstate not legibly	1	stamp of flagstate not legibly,

²³ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

²⁴ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

Flag States ²⁴	No of requests for verifications 2018	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation)-	No of requests for verifications 2019	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation
China	0		1	species/catch area and corresponding NPFC or SPRFMO registry questionable
Norway	1	questions about "alive fish" - landing date and validation date		
Panama	2	bad scan quality of CC	0	
Taiwan	2	information about VMS positions, transhipment at sea, transhipment dates, registry of FV in WCPFC		
Vietnam	3	weights on CC in relation to weights on annex IV	5	questions about processing plant, landing date, stamp of flagstate not legible, log-book extract
Maledives			1	stamp of flagstate not legible
Nicaragua			1	validity of CC
Philippines			2	questions about transhipment, vessels and weights;
Thailand			1	questions about catch date and landing date
Russia			1	questions about transhipment procedure, signatures, traceable documentation
USA	1	form of CC	0	
Portugal	1	QuestionifIUUcontrolwasperformedbyPortugal		
Spain	1	Questions about connected Annex IV of Serbia (different numbers)		

Flag States ²⁴	No of requests for verifications 2018	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation)-	No of requests for verifications 2019	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation
China	0		1	species/catch area and corresponding NPFC or SPRFMO registry questionable
Total	16		16	

7.2. How many requests for verification were not replied to by the other countries' authorities within the deadline provided in Article 17.6 of the IUU Regulation? Does your country in these situations send a reminder to the authorities of the country in question? Could you please specify when the request, despite reminder(s), remained unanswered? What measures have you taken in that case (i.e. denial of importation) [Please provide separate data for 2018 and 2019]

2018 : all requests were replied within deadline

2019 : all requests were replied within deadline

Austria SLO always send a reminder if the deadline is near and no response has reached us.

7.3. Was the quality of the answers provided overall sufficient to satisfy the request?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No (Russia)

7.4. Has your country been using IT systems developed by third countries allowing for a full or partly verification of catch certificates and how many verifications were made through these systems (approximately)?

IT Systems of following Third Countries have been used for verifications: Canada, Norway, Turkey, USA, India; (2018: 65 CCs and 2019: 71CCs)

Information on refusal of importations (Article 18 of the IUU Regulation)²⁵ Section 8.

8.1. Has your country refused any imports from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019? Note: please only consider refusals based on the IUU Regulation, not for other reasons e.g. Food Safety, Customs legislation, etc.

 \Box Yes ⊠ No

If yes, please provide details in the table below:

Reason for refusal of	2018		2019	
importation	Flag State ²⁶	No.	Flag State ²⁷	No.
Non-submission of a catch certificate for products to be imported.				
The products intended for importation are not the same as those mentioned in the catch certificate.				
The catch certificate is not validated by the notified public authority of the flag State				
The catch certificate does not indicate all the required information.				
The importer is not in a position to prove that the fishery products comply with the conditions of Article 14.1 or 2.				
A fishing vessel figuring on the catch certificate as vessel of origin of the catches is included in the Union IUU vessel list or in the IUU vessel lists referred to in Article 30.				
The catch certificate has been validated by the authorities of a flag State identified as a non- cooperating State in accordance with Article 31				
Further to the request for verification (Article 18.2)				

8.2. If the answer to 8.1 is yes, what measures were taken by your authorities towards the refused fishery products?

.....

²⁵ Section to be filled-in by all Member States
²⁶ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.
²⁷ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

.....

8.3. In case of refusal of importation, did the operators contest the decision of the authorities of your country?

 \Box Yes \Box No

If yes, please detail:

Section 9. Information on trade flows²⁸

9.1. Did your country note a change²⁹ of imports of fishery products since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017?

 \boxtimes Yes \Box No

If yes, please detail: 2018: we have received 20 CCs from Oman (in 2016+2017: 0 CCs) and in 2019 we haven't received any CC from Oman.

The number of CCs from Norway has increased almost threefold on average in comparison to the period 2016/2017.

9.2. Please provide information, deriving from your country's statistical data, concerning change of trade patterns in imports of fishery products into your country:

No statistical data can be provided.

Section 10. Information on mutual assistance³⁰

10.1. Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, how many mutual assistance messages of the Commission has your country replied to?

Please provide separate data for 2018 and 2019 (if any)

2018...... 5

2019...... 5

10.2. Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, has your country sent any mutual assistance message to the Commission/other Member States?

Please provide separate data for 2018 and 2019 (if any)

2018: 4

2019: 5

²⁸ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

²⁹ For example: new kinds of fishery products, new trade patterns or significant and sudden increase in trade volume for a certain species and/or certain third countries.

³⁰ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

Section 11. Information on cooperation with third countries³¹

11.1. Apart from verifications and refusals under Articles 17 and 18, has your country had information exchange with third countries on issues related to the implementation of the IUU Regulation, such as follow-up of cases concerning nationals, consignments, trade flows, operators, private fishing licencing, as well as the investigation of criminal activities and serious infringements (Article 42)?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

If yes, please detail (please provide separate data for 2018 and 2019, if any).

.....

Section 12. Information on nationals³²

12.1. Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, has your country implemented or modified existing measures to ensure that your country can take appropriate action with regards to nationals involved in IUU fishing in accordance with Article 39 of the IUU Regulation?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

If yes, please detail:

12.2. What measures has your country taken to encourage nationals to notify any information on interests in third country vessels (Article 40.1)?

.....

12.3. Has your country endeavoured to obtain information on arrangements between nationals and third countries allowing reflagging of their vessels in accordance with Article 40.4?

 \Box Yes $\boxtimes \Box$ No

If yes, please detail:

12.4. If yes to any of the above, how many cases have your country dealt with and which administrative or penal follow-up was given?

Please provide details:

12.5. Has your country put in place procedures to ensure that nationals do not sell or export any fishing vessels to operators involved in the operation, management or ownership of fishing vessels included in the Union IUU vessel list (Article 40.2)?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

If yes, please provide details:

- 12.6. Has your country made use of Article 40.3 and removed public aid under national aid regimes or under Union funds to operators involved in the operation, management or ownership of fishing vessels included in the Union IUU vessel list?
 - \Box Yes \boxtimes No

³¹ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

³² Section to be filled-in by all Member States

If yes, please detail:

Section 13. Infringements (Chapter IX of the IUU Regulation) and Sightings (Chapter X of the IUU Regulation)³³

13.1. Has your country detected serious infringements as defined in Article 42 of the IUU Regulation from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

If yes, please detail separately for each year the number of serious infringements, nature and sanctions applied:

Flag State of the vessel or nationality of the	Serious infringements detected in 2018:			Serious infringements detected in 2019:		
operator (EU and non-EU) ³⁴	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied
Country 1						
Country 2						
Country x						
Total						

13.2. Has your country applied or adapted its levels of administrative sanctions in accordance with Article 44?

 \boxtimes Yes \Box No

If yes, please detail: IUU Fischerei Verordnung (BGBl.II Nr. 382/2009)

13.3. Has your country issued sighting reports from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

If yes, how many sighting reports were issued by your country from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019?

Flag State of the sighted vessel (EU and non- EU) ³⁵	No of sighting reports issued in 2018	No of sighting reports issued in 2019
--	--	--

³³ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

³⁴ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

³⁵ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

Flag State of the sighted vessel (EU and non- EU) ³⁵	No of sighting reports issued in 2018	No of sighting reports issued in 2019
Country 1		
Country 2		
Country x		
Total		

13.4. Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, has your country received any sighting reports for its own vessels from other competent authorities?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

If yes, please detail follow-up (in accordance with Article 50 of the IUU Regulation).

.....

Section 14. General

14.1. In the reporting period 2018-2019, what have been the main difficulties that your country has encountered in implementing the IUU Regulation, including the catch certification scheme?

There are many different designs of the CC's and the knowledge of the importers is sometimes fragmentary.

- 14.2. Which improvements would your country suggest to the Regulation that would make implementation smoother?
 - The implementation of the IT Catch System would be very helpful, because fewer requests would be necessary.
 - In some difficult and recurring cases (see section 7.3, request to Russia) it would be crucial that the European Commission takes some responsibility for communication with third countries.

Russia did not answer to specific questions, but only confirmed the overall validity of the catch certificate. It is very unsatisfactory and time consuming that we as SLO then have to find out what other Member States had done in similar cases in the past. The result can only be that the approaches and results/actions are not congruent. In our view, the response of the European Commission to us was not helpful. For said reasons, the outcome - seen from the perspective of the European Union as a whole – is everything but effective, if in those cases, the European Commission does not get into action, and just shifts responsibility onto Member States. This weakens the whole system of IUU-control.

.....

• • •