QUESTIONNAIRE to be used for biennial reporting on the application of the IUU Regulation

Reporting period 2018-2019 (deadline for submission 30 April 2020)

Member State:	Belgium				
Organisation:	Dienst Visserij- Departement Landbouw en Visserij- Vlaamse Overheid				
Date:	28. April 2020				
Name, position and contact details of responsible official:					
May the Commission p	provide a copy of this questionnaire to other Member States?				
Yes:	Yes				
Yes except for questions (list):					
No:					
Please check if your n version of the Official 3	otified authorities under the IUU Regulation correspond with the latest Journal:				
https://eur-lex.europa.eu	/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52019XC0215(01)				
Article 15.2 (exportation Overheid	on of catches): Dienst Visserij- Departement Landbouw en Visserij- Vlaamse				
Article 17.8 (verification of catch certificates): Idem					
Article 21.3 (re-exportation): Idem					
Please state your notified authorities under the IUU Regulation in accordance with: Article 39.4 (nationals): Idem					

nationa	Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, has your country adopted/modified national law or any administrative guides for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008 on illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing (IUU Regulation)?					
]Yes	oxtimes No				
If	yes, please detail and p	rovide copies or provide link to the official national database				
*** *** ***						
Section	1 2. Information of	n administrative organisation ²				
	e there any changes a ercise?	s regards your administrative organisation since the last reporting				
	<i>Yes</i> r IUU SLO/FMC has m	☐ No oved from Ostend to Bruges.				
		lowing questions. Does your country have different authorities/services ation of the IUU Regulation?				
]Yes	$\boxtimes No$				
2.3. If d	lifferent authorities/serv	ices are involved, please distinguish between:				
•	validation of catch cert verification of catch ce	rtificates for imports under direct landing; ertificates for imports arriving by other means than fishing vessels (e.g.				
a)	vertical co-operation (b	petween local/regional authorities and head-quarter);				
	Please explain and des	cribe this cooperation:				
b)		er authorities and allocation of tasks for various authorities in the IUU Regulation (Fisheries, Health, Customs, Coast Guard, Navy, etc.);				
	Please explain and des	cribe this cooperation:				
c)	how many officials are	involved in the implementation of the catch certification scheme?				
		number of officials expressed in Full Time Equivalent (FTE):				
d)	Do the authorities of purposes laid down in	your country have the possibility to audit/verify a company for the the IUU Regulation?				
	$\boxtimes Yes$	\square No				

Information on legal framework¹

Section 1.

¹ This section 1 is to be filled-in by all Member States i.e. coastal and landlocked Member State. ² This section 2 is to be filled-in by all Member States i.e. coastal and landlocked Member State.

If yes, which and how many audits/verifications have they undertaken since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017? Which authorities are responsible for audits/verifications? Please detail the results: None, during 2018-2019 we haven't received any information, also we didn't detect any indication of a suspected infringement on the IUU regulation.

		freezones/freeports ³ g of fishery products ar		activities	relevant	to
Yes	$\boxtimes N_0$	9				

Section 3. Information on direct landings and transhipments of fishery products by third country fishing vessels⁴ (including information on port inspections and infringements)⁵

3.1. Please check if your list of designated ports correspond to the latest version of the Office Journal.

https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.C .2019.276.01.0011.01.ENG

					operations of fishery
prod	icts and port service	es of third country	fishing vessels (A	Article 5 of the IU	U Regulation ⁶)?

products and port services	of third country fishing vessels (Article 5 of the IUU Regulation ⁶)?
⊠ Yes	\square No
	nuntry's designated ports (including ports designated under Regional ganisations requirements) and answer to questions 3.2. to 3.7.:
OostendeZeebrugge	

3.3. How many landings and transhipments in designated ports of third country vessels have been recorded by your country between 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019? How many inspections has your country carried out and how many infringements have been detected?

Please fill-in the table below (2018):

Inspections of third country vessels in Member States ports (2018)								
Type of	•	Figures (2018)	Flag of the third country vessel(s) ⁷					
operation	Vessels		Ex. NO	FS1	FS2	FS3	FSx	Total
S	Non-EU	Number of landings	100					None
ı .≡ ı	vessels using	Number of inspections	10					
Laı	MS designat	% of inspections / landings	10%					

³ https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/business/customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones_en

⁴ Fishing vessels as defined in article 2.5 of the IUU Regulation

⁵ This section 3 refers to Chapter II (Articles 4 to 11) of the IUU Regulation and is applicable to coastal Member States. Landlocked Member States should not fill in this section.

⁶ Please note that ports designated under Regional Fisheries Management Organisations must also be designated under the IUU Regulation with restrictions if necessary (species etc.)

⁷ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

	ed ports	Number of infringements	3			
T Non-EU vessels using MS designat ed ports	Number of transhipments in ports	2			None	
	using in	Number of inspections	0			
	designat	transmpments	0			
	eu ports	Number of infringements	0			

Inspections of third country vessels in Member States ports (2019)								
Type of			Flag of the third country vessel(s) ⁸)8	
operation	Vessels	Figures (2019)	Ex. NO	FS1	FS2	FS3	FSx	Total
	Non EII	Number of landings	100					None
Landings	Non-EU vessels using MS	Number of inspections	10					
Lanc	designated	% of inspections / landings	10%					
	ports	Number of infringements	3					
nts	Non-EU	Number of transhipments in ports	2					None
Transhipments	vessels using MS	Number of inspections	2					
ob cansi	designated ports	% of inspections / transhipments	100%					
		Number of infringements	0					

3.4. From the figures above, in the cases where your country detected infringements by third country vessels between 1 January 2018 and 31 December 2019, please specify the flag, the vessel's name, the type of infringement and the measures taken (Article 11 of the IUU Regulation).

Please fill-in the table below (2018):

Flag of the third country vessel ⁹	Name of the third country fishing vessel	Type of infringements	Measures taken
FS1			
FS2			
FSx			

Please fill-in the table below (2019):

Flag of the third country vessel ¹⁰	Name of the third country fishing vessel	Type of infringements	Measures taken
FS1			

⁸ ISO Alpha-2 country codes. ⁹ ISO Alpha-2 country codes. ¹⁰ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

FSx									
	atry had any problems with and 7 (authorisation) of t		s when implementing Articles						
\square Yes	oxtimes No								
If yes, please of	If yes, please detail the nature of the problems and the measures taken:								
In 2018:									
In 2019:									
	ities of landing or tranship		to a fishing vessel for port ased on the conditions of the						
\square Yes	\boxtimes No								
If yes, please of	detail the nature of the prob	olem, the number of vessels of	concerned and their flags:						
In 2018:									
In 2019:									
	third country fishing vesse mber State? [Article 19.3 c		country with final destination						
\square Yes	$\boxtimes No$								
If yes, please i	ndicate the number of land	lings in transit per year:							
In 2018:									
In 2019:									
		inspection, does your countricle 4 of Regulation (EC) No	y use risk assessment criteria 1010/2009]?						
\square Yes	\square No								
⊠ Not applica	able (e.g. in the absence of	landings/transhipments from	third countries)						
If yes, please of	detail:								

FS2

Section 4. Information on catch certification scheme for importation for the purpose of the IUU Regulation¹¹

4.1. How many catch certificates from non-EU countries were presented to the authorities of your country from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019? Please complete the following table by flag state validating the catch certificates, including in cases catch certificates are accompanied by processing statements.¹²

NON-EU	2018	2019
countries		
Ghana	0	3
Ivoorkust	0	1
Gambia	0	0
Kenia	1	0
Madagascar	12	10
Marokko	55	82
Mauritanië	0	2
Mauritius	8	69
Namibië	0	22
Nigeria	23	32
Oman	2	1
Senegal	115	214
Seychellen	2	66
Tanzania	3	4
Yemen	1	40
Zuid-Afrika	0	0
Egypte	0	3
Australië	0	0
Bangladesh	0	0
China	271	520
India	371	497
Indonesië	106	194
Korea	17	48
Maleisië	4	0
Myanmar	10	3
Papoea-	0	1
Nieuw-		
Guinea		
Nieuw-	14	54
Zeeland		
Pakistan	12	22
Filippijnen	20	65
Solomon	0	3
Eilanden		

¹¹ Section to be filled-in by all Member States. Article 2.11 of the IUU Regulation - "importation means the introduction of fishery products into the territory of the Union, including for transhipment purposes at ports in its territory"

12 If catch certificates are submitted only for transhipment purposes, please specify.

Sri Lanka	0	50
Tahiti	0	0
Taiwan	1	7
Thailand	21	27
Vietnam	168	257
Malediven	0	9
Ijsland	0	0
Noorwegen	1	0
Rusland	32	187
Turkije	2	0
Bahamas	6	12
Canada	67	78
Cuba	0	2
Belize	0	13
Mexico	10	19
Panama	1	37
USA	88	368
El Salvador	0	12
Argentinië	20	55
Brazilië	4	0
Chili	127	126
Colombia	7	0
Costa Rica	0	0
Ecuador	67	80
Guyana	5	21
Nicaragua	468	421
Peru	2	19
Suriname	23	10
Uruguay	0	2
Curaçao	0	26
Venezuala	0	1
TOTAAL	2167	3795

4.2. From the number above, how many recognised <u>RFMO catch certificates</u> accompanied imports into your country? *Please detail per RFMO certificate and year*.

RFMO document	2018	2019
ICCAT (electronic)-bluefin tuna catch document	0	0
Dissostichus spp. (CCAMLR)	0	0
CCSBT CDS	0	0
Total		

4.3. How many <u>catch certificates</u> from EU Member States were presented to the authorities of your country from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019?

Flag State (EU)	2018	2019
Frankrijk	1	116
Spanje	10	96
Total	11	212

4.4. From the number above, how many recognised <u>RFMO catch certificates</u> from EU Member States accompanied imports into your country? *Please detail per RFMO certificate and year*.

RFMO document	2018	2019
ICCAT (electronic)-bluefin tuna catch document	0	0
Dissostichus spp. (CCAMLR)	0	0
CCSBT CDS	0	0
Total		

4.5. Has your country received p	rocessing statements from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019?
\boxtimes Yes	\square No

If yes, how many <u>processing statements</u> under Article 14.2 accompanied imports into your country? If possible, please provide details per year and per processing country.

NON-EU	2018	2019
processing		
countries		
Ghana	1	0
Ivoorkust	1	15
Gambia	0	0
Kenia	0	0
Madagascar	1	25
Marokko	0	1
Mauritanië	0	0
Mauritius	0	27
Namibië	0	0
Nigeria	0	0
Oman	0	0
Senegal	1	0

Seychellen	0	0
Tanzania	0	0
Yemen	0	0
Zuid-Afrika	0	0
Australië	0	0
Bangladesh	0	0
China	406	424
India	8	0
Indonesië	6	4
Korea	0	0
Maleisië	6	12
Myanmar	0	0
Papoea- Nieuw-Guinea	5	16
Nieuw-	0	0
Zeeland Pakistan	0	0
Filippijnen	8	0
Salomon	0	0
Eilanden	•	
Sri Lanka	0	0
Tahiti	0	0
Taiwan	0	0
Thailand	12	32
Vietnam	14	40
Bahamas	0	0
Canada	6	5
Cuba	0	0
Mexico	0	0
Panama	0	0

USA	0	1
Argentinië	1	0
Brazilië	0	0
Chili	6	0
Colombia	0	0
Costa Rica	6	0
Curação	2	0
Ecuador	16	42
Guyana	0	0
Nicaragua	37	0
Peru	0	2
Suriname	0	0
Uruguay	0	0
TOTAAL	543	646

4.6. Please indicate if the information in processing statements referring to the corresponding catch certificates is retained and recorded:

⊠ Yes *there is one exception regarding our results from the processing certification.	0
from China we have counted 20 verified processing certificates and counted th	e accompanied
catch certificates and made an estimation of the received catch certificates	\square No
\square Not applicable (e.g. in the absence of processing statements received from non-2018-2019)	EU countries in
Has your country received requests to authorise APEO ¹³ s in 2018-2019?	

4.7.

\square Yes	$\boxtimes No$				
f yes, how many requent authorised?	ests has your	country received	l and how 1	many APEOs	have been

4.8. Has your country adopted administrative rules referring to the management and control of APEO in 2018-2019?

¹³ Approved Economic Operators – IUU Regulation, Article 16 and Implementing Regulation (EC) 1010/2009, Chapter II

\square Yes	\square No			
⊠ Not applicab	ble (e.g. absence of A	1PEO request)		
If yes, please de	etail:			
1.9. Has your country 31 December 20		t certificates for	products imported	from 1 January 2018 until
\boxtimes Yes	\square No			
If yes, how ma country:	ny re-export certific	cates? Please de	etail per year and, i	if possible, per destination
Destination country (non EU) ¹⁴	-	2018		2019
CANADA	0		3	
Total	0		3	
☐ Yes ☐ Not applicab If yes, please de	extstyle extstyle extstyle extstyle No letail:	ce of validation	of re-export certific	ates in 2018-2019)
_	ountry established an npanying imports?	ny IT tools to	monitor the catch of	certificates and processing
\square Yes	$\boxtimes No$			
If yes, does it in	iclude a module for i	re-exportation of	f imported catches?	
\square Yes	\square No			
	ntry implement the page of destination?	provisions rega	ding transit under	Article 19.2 at the point of
\boxtimes At the point	of entry	\square At the place	of destination	□ Not implemented

¹⁴ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

Sec	non 5. Intorma	ation on catch certification scheme	ior exportation.
		stablished a procedure for validation essels in accordance with Article 15?	n of catch certificates for exportation of
	⊠ Yes	\square No	
	□ □ Not applicable 2019)	(e.g. in the absence of validation of	catch certificates for exportation in 2018-
	If yes, please explain	n briefly the established procedure an	d answer questions 5.2 to 5.5.
	center. Together v	vith the form they provide a copy services the form is dated, registe	an electronic demand to our service of the logsheets of the vessel. After ared and validated
	Has your country v Article 15?	alidated catch certificates for expor	tation in 2018-2019 in accordance with
	⊠ Yes	\square No	
			late from 1 January 2018 to 31 December hird country/country of destination in the
	Destination	,	Year
	State ¹⁶	2018	2019
	Marokko	483	370
	Total	483	370
	Has your country estemming from own		e catch certificates validated for exports
	\square Yes	oxtimes No	
	Does your country nactually leave the EU		ur country has validated catch certificates
	\square Yes	oxtimes No	
	□ Not applicable (€ 2019)	e.g. in the absence of validation of c	atch certificates for exportation in 2018-
	Has your country re December 2019?	efused the validation of a catch cer	tificate between 1 January 2018 and 31
	\square Yes	$\boxtimes No$	
	☐ Not applicable (e in 2018-2019)	.g. in the absence of request for valid	lation of catch certificates for exportation

Section to be filled-in by flag Member States.
 ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

If yes, please detail:								
Number (per year): Reason: Follow-up:								
Section 6. Informa Article 17.1 to 5 of IUU		ons of catch certif	icates for importat	tion according to				
6.1. Has your country es accordance with Art		re for verification of	of catch certificates	for importation in				
⊠ Yes	\square No							
The fisheries office for verification. We us to check the quan Basically the numbe In-depth verification.	If yes, please detail: The fisheries office only does documentary checks on the documents which were sent in by agents for verification. We systematically ask importers to submit the B/L and the HC in order to allow us to check the quantities reported. Basically the number of verifications correspond to the figures in section 4. In-depth verifications are done by customs but figures are not available							
Flag State of origin	Number of	verifications	Number of	verifications				
(EU or non-EU) ¹⁸	20	018	20	19				
	No of basic document-based verifications ¹⁹	No of in-depth verifications ²⁰	No of basic document-based verifications	No of in-depth verifications				
	No of basic document-based	No of in-depth	No of basic document-based	No of in-depth				
(EU or non-EU) ¹⁸	No of basic document-based	No of in-depth	No of basic document-based	No of in-depth				
(EU or non-EU) ¹⁸ Country 1	No of basic document-based	No of in-depth	No of basic document-based	No of in-depth				
(EU or non-EU) ¹⁸ Country 1 Country 2	No of basic document-based	No of in-depth	No of basic document-based	No of in-depth				
Country 1 Country 2	No of basic document-based	No of in-depth	No of basic document-based	No of in-depth				
Country 1 Country 2 Country x	No of basic document-based verifications ¹⁹	No of in-depth verifications ²⁰	No of basic document-based verifications	No of in-depth verifications				
Country 1 Country 2 Country x Total 6.3. Does your country	No of basic document-based verifications ¹⁹	No of in-depth verifications ²⁰	No of basic document-based verifications	No of in-depth verifications				

Section to be filled-in by all Memoer States

18 ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

19 See fields CC1 to CC6 (*Preliminary overview checks "helicopter view"*) of the EFCA Common methodology for IUU catch certificates verification and cross-checks.

20 See fields CC7 to CC32 (*Verify and cross-check information related to the form, flag state, validating*

authority, fishing vessel, product(s), transhipment operations) of the EFCA Common methodology for IUU catch certificates verification and cross-checks.

	If yes, please detail (e.g. EU criteria for verifications (Article 31 of Commission Regulation 1010/2009); EFCA risk assessment methodology; national criteria).									
a yellov nature o	Certificates from risk third countries are verified more thoroughly. Risk countries are those having a yellow card and those for which MARE or other SLO issued warnings. Another aspect is the nature of the goods not all fish products are on the same threat level (e.g. Patagonian toothfish compared to Canadian lobster for instance).									
6.4. Does yo	ur country also physica	lly verify the consignm	ents?							
☐ Yes	\boxtimes	No								
If yes, p	lease detail:									
Method	of selection:									
Section 7.	Verification reque	ests to flag States ²¹								
	r country sent requests s authorities in 2018-20	for verifications under 019?	Article 17.6 of the IUU	J Regulation to other						
\boxtimes Yes	\boxtimes	No								
If yes, how many requests for verifications? Note: please provide separate data for 2018 and 2019:										
Flag States ²²	No of requests for verifications 2018	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation)-	No of requests for verifications 2019	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation						
Flag States ²²	verifications	(Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU	verifications	(Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU						
States ²²	verifications 2018	(Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU	verifications 2019 1	(Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU						
States ²² Oman	verifications 2018	(Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU	verifications 2019	(Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU						
Oman Russia Total 7.2. How mathe dead send a request, (i.e. den 2018	verifications 2018 0 0 ony requests for verifical line provided in Article eminder to the authorit despite reminder(s), respectively.	(Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation)- ation were not replied at 17.6 of the IUU Regulation of the country in quemained unanswered? Vase provide separate dat .	to by the other countriation? Does your countestion? Could you ple What measures have your series at the country of the countr	(Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation les' authorities within try in these situations ase specify when the						

²¹ Section to be filled-in by all Member States ²² ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

 \square Yes \boxtimes No

7.4. Has your country been using IT systems developed by third countries allowing for a full or partly verification of catch certificates and how many verifications were made through these systems (approximately)?

At this moment we are still waiting to get access to the Russian database, for verifying the authentication of Russian catch certificates. We already received (MA request) assistance from our Dutch and EFCA colleagues regarding this matter.

Section 8. Information on refusal of importations (Article 18 of the IUU Regulation)²³

8.1. Has your country refuse	d any impor	s from	l January 20)18 until	31 De	cember 2	2019?	Note:	please
only consider refusals		e IUU .	Regulation,	not for	other	reasons	e.g.	Food	Safety,
Customs legislation, etc.	•								

 \square Yes $\boxtimes No$

If yes, please provide details in the table below:

Reason for refusal of	2018		2019			
importation	Flag State ²⁴	No.	Flag State ²⁵	No.		
Non-submission of a catch certificate for products to be imported.						
The products intended for importation are not the same as those mentioned in the catch certificate.						
The catch certificate is not validated by the notified public authority of the flag State						
The catch certificate does not indicate all the required information.						
The importer is not in a position to prove that the fishery products comply with the conditions of Article 14.1 or 2.						
A fishing vessel figuring on the catch certificate as vessel of origin of the catches is included in the Union IUU vessel list or in the IUU vessel lists referred to in Article 30.						
The catch certificate has been validated by the authorities of a flag State identified as a non-cooperating State in accordance with Article 31						
Further to the request for verification (Article 18.2)						

8.2. If the answer to	8.1 is yes,	what i	measures	were	taken	by yo	ur authorities	towards	the	refused
fishery products	?									

......

 ²³ Section to be filled-in by all Member States
 ²⁴ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.
 ²⁵ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

8.3. In case of refusa country?	al of importation, did the operators contest the decision of the authorities of your
☐ Yes	oxtimes No
If yes, please det	tail:
	2. 7. 26
Section 9. Info	ormation on trade flows ²⁶
9.1. Did your country covering the peri	y note a change ²⁷ of imports of fishery products since the last reporting exercise iod 2016-2017?
⊠ Yes	\square No
If yes, please det	tail:We have received more certificates to verify
	information, deriving from your country's statistical data, concerning change of imports of fishery products into your country:
Section 10. Info	ormation on mutual assistance ²⁸
10.1. Since the last	reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, how many mutual assistance e Commission has your country replied to?
10.1. Since the last a messages of the	reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, how many mutual assistance
10.1. Since the last messages of the Please provide	reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, how many mutual assistance e Commission has your country replied to? separate data for 2018 and 2019 (if any) number of messages were replied to but the actual numbers are not recorded. In test for samples of submitted CC stemming from some third countries were replied
10.1. Since the last a messages of the Please provide 2018 a n particular requito	reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, how many mutual assistance e Commission has your country replied to? separate data for 2018 and 2019 (if any) number of messages were replied to but the actual numbers are not recorded. In test for samples of submitted CC stemming from some third countries were replied
10.1. Since the last a messages of the Please provide 2018 a reparticular requito	reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, how many mutual assistance e Commission has your country replied to? separate data for 2018 and 2019 (if any) number of messages were replied to but the actual numbers are not recorded. In test for samples of submitted CC stemming from some third countries were replied
10.1. Since the last a messages of the Please provide 2018	reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, how many mutual assistance e Commission has your country replied to? separate data for 2018 and 2019 (if any) mumber of messages were replied to but the actual numbers are not recorded. In test for samples of submitted CC stemming from some third countries were replied
10.1. Since the last a messages of the Please provide 2018	reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, how many mutual assistance e Commission has your country replied to? separate data for 2018 and 2019 (if any) number of messages were replied to but the actual numbers are not recorded. In test for samples of submitted CC stemming from some third countries were replied

Section to be filled-in by all Member States
 For example: new kinds of fishery products, new trade patterns or significant and sudden increase in trade volume for a certain species and/or certain third countries.
 Section to be filled-in by all Member States

		n cooperation with third countries"
11.1.	exchange with third counsuch as follow-up of case fishing licencing, as well (Article 42)?	nd refusals under Articles 17 and 18, has your country had information tries on issues related to the implementation of the IUU Regulation, es concerning nationals, consignments, trade flows, operators, private as the investigation of criminal activities and serious infringements
	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes No$
	If yes, please detail (please	e provide separate data for 2018 and 2019, if any).
		······································
Sect	ion 12. Information of	n nationals ³⁰
12.1	or modified existing mea	sercise covering the period 2016-2017, has your country implemented asures to ensure that your country can take appropriate action with olved in IUU fishing in accordance with Article 39 of the IUU
	☐ Yes	$oxed{\boxtimes} No$
	If yes, please detail:	
12.2	. What measures has your interests in third country v	country taken to encourage nationals to notify any information on ressels (Article 40.1)?
12.3		roured to obtain information on arrangements between nationals and eflagging of their vessels in accordance with Article 40.4?
	☐ Yes	$oxed{\square} \ \square \ No$
	If yes, please detail:	
12.4	If yes to any of the all administrative or penal follows:	bove, how many cases have your country dealt with and which llow-up was given?
	Please provide details:	
12.5	vessels to operators invo	ace procedures to ensure that nationals do not sell or export any fishing lved in the operation, management or ownership of fishing vessels Uvessel list (Article 40.2)?
	☐ Yes	$oxed{\boxtimes} No$
	If yes, please provide deta	ils:
12.6		se of Article 40.3 and removed public aid under national aid regimes or rators involved in the operation, management or ownership of fishing ion IUU vessel list?
	☐ Yes	oxtimes No

²⁹ Section to be filled-in by all Member States ³⁰ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

Section 13. In (Chapter X of the I)			r IX of the	· IUU Reg	gulation) a	nd Sighting
Has your country de from 1 January 2018				d in Article	42 of the IU	JU Regulatio
☐ Yes	⊠ No					
If yes, please detail sanctions applied:	separately for	or each yea	r the number	of serious	infringemen	ts, nature an
Flag State of the vessel or		rious infrir detected in		Se	erious infrii detected in	
nationality of the operator (EU and non-EU) ³²	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied
Country 1						
Country 2						
Country x						
Total						
Has your country ap Article 44? ☐ <i>Yes</i>	⊠ No					
If yes, please detail: Has your country issu	ued sighting	reports fron	n 1 January 20	10 (11111 51 1		0191
	ued sighting	_	n 1 January 20	To unit 31 1		J19?
Has your country issu	⊠ No					

If yes, please detail:

 ³¹ Section to be filled-in by all Member States
 ³² ISO Alpha-2 country codes.
 ³³ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

	Flag State of the sighted vessel (EU and non-EU) ³³	No of sighting reports issued in 2018	No of sighting reports issued in 2019
İ	Country 1		
İ	Country 2		
İ			
Ī	Country x		
Ī	Total		
13.4	sighting reports for it	s own vessels from other competent a No Sollow-up (in accordance with Article	
Sect	ion 14. General		
14.1		od 2018-2019, what have been the n menting the IUU Regulation, includin	nain difficulties that your country has g the catch certification scheme?
	uring the reporting p ious reporting periods		olems were encountered compared to
intro prog	duced more than once ress with the launch	and parts of the documents can be us	If the data, the same document can be sed separately. We are expecting great tion system which will allow for a a certificate was issued.
verif	ication on the place of		of CR 1005/2008 which allows for a inion that verifications as foreseen in
14.2	Which improvement implementation smooth		the Regulation that would make
MAI		in development is expect	TRADE from DG SANTE and DG ed to help implementation
••••			

Section 15.

Any other comments

•••	• •	 • •	 ••	 •••	•••	• • •	 • • • •	••
	••	 •••	 ••	 •••		•••	 ••••	••

• • •