QUESTIONNAIRE to be used for biennial reporting on the application of the IUU Regulation

Reporting period 2018-2019 (deadline for submission 30 April 2020)

Member State:	Czech Republic			
Organisation:	General Directorate of Customs, Ministry of Agriculture			
Date:	8 April 2020			
Name, position and contact details of responsible official:				
May the Commission p	provide a copy of this questionnaire to other Member States?			
Yes:	X			
Yes except for questions (list):				
No:				
Please check if your n version of the Official 3	otified authorities under the IUU Regulation correspond with the latest Journal:			
https://eur-lex.europa.eu	/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52019XC0215(01)			
Article 15.2 (exportation	on of catches): not applicable			
Article 17.8 (verification of catch certificates): Customs Offices				
Article 21.3 (re-exportation): Customs Offices				
Please state your notified authorities under the IUU Regulation in accordance with:				
Article 39.4 (nationals)	: Ministry of Agriculture			

nationa	al law or any administ	the covering the period 2016-2017, has your country adopted/modified trative guides for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No d and unregulated fishing (IUU Regulation)?
]Yes	$oxed{\boxtimes} No$
If	yes, please detail and pr	ovide copies or provide link to the official national database
Section	n 2. Information o	n administrative organisation ²
	e there any changes a ercise?	s regards your administrative organisation since the last reporting
	Yes	oxtimes No
		owing questions. Does your country have different authorities/services tion of the IUU Regulation?
\boxtimes] Yes	\square No
2.3. If o	different authorities/servi	ces are involved, please distinguish between:
:	validation of catch certification of catch cert	rtificates for imports under direct landing; rtificates for imports arriving by other means than fishing vessels (e.g.
a)	vertical co-operation (b	etween local/regional authorities and head-quarter);
	Please explain and desc	cribe this cooperation:
fii as Tl	ndings are reported to the sessed by the headquarte he outcome of the reques	nduct checks and verifications of catch certificates. If doubts arise, the e headquarters (General Directorate of Customs). This information is ers and if necessary a request for assistance is sent to a third country. It for assistance is reported back to the customs office and based on the ereply the importation is either authorised or denied.
b)		er authorities and allocation of tasks for various authorities in the UU Regulation (Fisheries, Health, Customs, Coast Guard, Navy, etc.);
	Please explain and desc	cribe this cooperation:
		alture is the designated authority to implement Chapter VIII regarding sanctions laid down in Chapter IX of the IUU Regulation.
c)	how many officials are	involved in the implementation of the catch certification scheme?

Information on legal framework¹

Section 1.

¹ This section 1 is to be filled-in by all Member States i.e. coastal and landlocked Member State. ² This section 2 is to be filled-in by all Member States i.e. coastal and landlocked Member State.

3,5 employees expressed in FTE.
d) Do the authorities of your country have the possibility to audit/verify a company for the purposes laid down in the IUU Regulation?
$\boxtimes Yes$ \square No
Only those companies that apply for APEO status can be audited.
If yes, which and how many audits/verifications have they undertaken since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017? Which authorities are responsible for audits/verifications? Please detail the results: 0
2.4. Does your country have freezones/freeports ³ in which activities relevant to importation/exportation/processing of fishery products are authorised?
$\boxtimes Yes$ \square No
No specific measures have been adopted with regard to importation/exportation/processing of fishery products in free zones. Any activities carried out in free zones shall meet the conditions laid down in Union customs legislation.
Section 3. Information on direct landings and transhipments of fishery products by third country fishing vessels ⁴ (including information on port inspections and infringements) ⁵
3.1. Please check if your list of designated ports correspond to the latest version of the Office Journal.
https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.C .2019.276.01.0011.01.ENG
3.2. Does your country have designated ports for direct landings or transhipment operations of fishery products and port services of third country fishing vessels (Article 5 of the IUU Regulation ⁶)?
products and port services of third country fishing vessels (Article 5 of the IUU Regulation ⁶)?
products and port services of third country fishing vessels (Article 5 of the IUU Regulation ⁶)? □ Yes □ No If yes, please list your country's designated ports (including ports designated under Regional
products and port services of third country fishing vessels (Article 5 of the IUU Regulation ⁶)? □ Yes □ No If yes, please list your country's designated ports (including ports designated under Regional Fisheries Management Organisations requirements) and answer to questions 3.2. to 3.7.:
products and port services of third country fishing vessels (Article 5 of the IUU Regulation ⁶)? Yes

https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/business/customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones_en

Hishing vessels as defined in article 2.5 of the IUU Regulation

This section 3 refers to Chapter II (Articles 4 to 11) of the IUU Regulation and is applicable to coastal Member

States. Landlocked Member States should not fill in this section.

⁶ Please note that ports designated under Regional Fisheries Management Organisations must also be designated under the IUU Regulation with restrictions if necessary (species etc.)

Inspections of third country vessels in Member States ports (2018)								
Type of	Vessels	Figures (2018)		Flag o	f the thire	d country	vessel(s)	7
operation			Ex. NO	FS1	FS2	FS3	FSx	Total
	Non-EU	Number of landings	100					
sgu	vessels	Number of inspections	10					
vessels using MS designat ed ports	% of inspections / landings	10%						
	•	Number of infringements	3					
nts	Non-EU	Number of transhipments in ports	2					
Non-EU vessels using MS designat ed ports	Number of inspections	0						
	designat	% of inspections / transhipments	0					
	Number of infringements	0						

⁷ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

	Inspections of third country vessels in Member States ports (2019)							
Type of	Vessels	Figures (2019)	Flag of the third country vessel(s) ⁸					
operation			Ex. NO	FS1	FS2	FS3	FSx	Total
	Non-EU	Number of landings	100					
Landings	vessels	Number of inspections	10					
Lanc	using MS designated	% of inspections / landings	10%					
	ports	Number of infringements	3					
nts	Non-EU	Number of transhipments in ports	2					
Transhipments	vessels using MS	Number of	2					
Frans	designated ports	% of inspections / transhipments	100%					
		Number of infringements	0					

3.4. From the figures above, in the cases where your country detected infringements by third country vessels between 1 January 2018 and 31 December 2019, please specify the flag, the vessel's name, the type of infringement and the measures taken (Article 11 of the IUU Regulation).

Please fill-in the table below (2018):

Flag of the third country vessel ⁹	Name of the third country fishing vessel	Type of infringements	Measures taken
FS1			
FS2			
FSx			

Please fill-in the table below (2019):

Flag of the third country vessel ¹⁰	Name of the third country fishing vessel	Type of infringements	Measures taken
FS1			

⁸ ISO Alpha-2 country codes. ⁹ ISO Alpha-2 country codes. ¹⁰ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

•••					
FSx					
	atry had any problems with and 7 (authorisation) of t		when implementing Articles		
\square Yes	\square No				
If yes, please of	detail the nature of the prol	olems and the measures taker	1:		
In 2018:					
In 2019:					
	ities of landing or transhi		to a fishing vessel for port		
\square Yes	\square No				
If yes, please of	detail the nature of the prol	olem, the number of vessels of	concerned and their flags:		
In 2018:					
In 2019:					
	third country fishing vesse mber State? [Article 19.3 c		country with final destination		
\square Yes	\square No				
If yes, please i	indicate the number of land	lings in transit per year:			
In 2018:					
In 2019:					
		inspection, does your countricted to the country icle 4 of Regulation (EC) No.	y use risk assessment criteria 1010/2009]?		
\square Yes	\square No				
□ Not applica	able (e.g. in the absence of	landings/transhipments from	third countries)		
If yes, please of	If yes, please detail:				

FS2

Section 4. Information on catch certification scheme for importation for the purpose of the IUU Regulation¹¹

4.1. How many catch certificates from non-EU countries were presented to the authorities of your country from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019? Please complete the following table by flag state validating the catch certificates, including in cases catch certificates are accompanied by processing statements.12

Flag State (non-EU) ¹³	2018	2019
PA	64	83
AR	4	6
CA	10	7
CL	1	0
CN	12	5
EC	103	95
GH	7	6
ID	13	26
IS	18	0
KR	18	19
LK	0	20
MA	151	168
MU	0	1
MV	4	19
NA	1	2
NI	4	3
NO	4	7
NZ	2	3
ОМ	1	0
PE	3	3
PG	2	5
PH	63	93
RU	135	166
SC	5	26
SN	3	0

¹¹ Section to be filled-in by all Member States. Article 2.11 of the IUU Regulation - "importation means the introduction of fishery products into the territory of the Union, including for transhipment purposes at ports in its territory"
 12 If catch certificates are submitted only for transhipment purposes, please specify.

¹³ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

SV	2	7
TH	1	0
TR	0	1
TW	3	4
US	316	218
VN	25	33
Total	975	1026

4.2. From the number above, how many recognised <u>RFMO catch certificates</u> accompanied imports into your country? *Please detail per RFMO certificate and year*.

RFMO document	2018	2019
ICCAT (electronic)-bluefin tuna catch document	0	0
Dissostichus spp. (CCAMLR)	0	0
CCSBT CDS	0	0
Total	0	0

4.3. How many <u>catch certificates</u> from EU Member States were presented to the authorities of your country from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019?

Flag State (EU)	2018	2019
ES	27	74
GB	1	0
IT	1	2
FR	0	20
IE	0	1
Total	29	96

4.4. From the number above, how many recognised <u>RFMO catch certificates</u> from EU Member States accompanied imports into your country? *Please detail per RFMO certificate and year*.

RFMO document	2018	2019
ICCAT (electronic)-bluefin tuna catch document	0	0

RFMO document	2018	2019
Dissostichus spp. (CCAMLR)	0	0
CCSBT CDS	0	0
Total	0	0

4.5. Has your country	received processing states	nents from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019?
\boxtimes Yes	\square No	
	cessing statements under a de details per year and per	Article 14.2 accompanied imports into your country? If processing country.

Processing non-EU State ¹⁴	2018	2019
CN	54	66
EC	109	180
MU	0	21
KR	6	6
PH	3	0
TH	16	3
VN	8	12
Total	196	288

4.6.	Please indicate if the inforcertificates is retained and i	mation in <u>processing statements</u> referring to the corresponding catch ecorded:
	\boxtimes Yes	\square No
	\square Not applicable (e.g. in to 2018-2019)	he absence of processing statements received from non-EU countries in
4.7.	Has your country received	requests to authorise APEO ¹⁵ s in 2018-2019?
	\square Yes	oxtimes No
	If yes, how many request authorised?	ests has your country received and how many APEOs have been
	Has your country adopted in 2018-2019?	administrative rules referring to the management and control of APEO
	\square Yes	\square No
	⊠ Not applicable (e.g. ab	sence of APEO request)

Iso Alpha-2 country codes.
 Approved Economic Operators – IUU Regulation, Article 16 and Implementing Regulation (EC) 1010/2009, Chapter II

	If yes, please detai	1:			
	Has your country volume 12019?		ort certificates for produc	cts imported from	n 1 January 2018 until
	$\boxtimes Yes$	\square No			
	If yes, how many country:	re-export certif	icates? Please detail pe	r year and, if pos	ssible, per destination
	Destination country (non- EU) ¹⁶		2018		2019
	EC	0		6	
	UZ	0		7	
	VN	1		0	
	XS	7		14	
	Total	8		27	
	If yes, please detail	l: the goods is m	nce of validation of re-extended on the one on the one on the EU nations.		·
4.11	. Has your counstatements accompa	•	any IT tools to monitor	r the catch certif	icates and processing
	\boxtimes Yes	\square No			
	If yes, does it inclu	ide a module for	re-exportation of impor	rted catches?	
	\square Yes	$\boxtimes No$			
4.12	Does your country entry or the place	_	provisions regarding tr	ansit under Artic	le 19.2 at the point of
	\Box At the point of	entry	☑ At the place of desti	ination	□ Not implemented

¹⁶ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

Sec	tion 5. Informa	ation on catch certification scheme	for exportation ¹⁷
5.1.		stablished a procedure for validation essels in accordance with Article 15?	n of catch certificates for exportation of
	☐ Yes	\square No	
	□ □ Not applicable 2019)	e (e.g. in the absence of validation of	catch certificates for exportation in 2018-
	If yes, please explain	n briefly the established procedure an	d answer questions 5.2 to 5.5.
5.2.	Has your country v Article 15?	validated catch certificates for expor	tation in 2018-2019 in accordance with
	☐ Yes	\square No	
			late from 1 January 2018 to 31 December hird country/country of destination in the
	Destination		Year
	State ¹⁸	2018	2019
	Third Country 1		
	Third Country 2		
	Third Country x		
	Total		
5.3.	Has your country e stemming from own		e catch certificates validated for exports
	\square Yes	\square No	
5.4.	Does your country r actually leave the EV	•	ur country has validated catch certificates
	☐ Yes	\square No	
	☐ Not applicable (a 2019)	e.g. in the absence of validation of c	atch certificates for exportation in 2018-
5.5.	Has your country r December 2019?	efused the validation of a catch cer	tificate between 1 January 2018 and 31
	☐ Yes	\square No	

¹⁷ Section to be filled-in by flag Member States. ¹⁸ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

\square Not applicable (e.g. in the in 2018-2019)	he absence of request for validation of catch certificates for exportation
If yes, please detail:	
Reason:	
ection 6. Information of rticle 17.1 to 5 of IUU Regul	n verifications of catch certificates for importation according to ation ¹⁹
rticle 17.1 to 5 of IUU Regul	ed a procedure for verification of catch certificates for importation in
rticle 17.1 to 5 of IUU Regul 1. Has your country establish	ed a procedure for verification of catch certificates for importation in

The internal guidelines instruct customs officers in charge of catch certificate verifications that each catch certificate must come under scrutiny. Such scrutiny includes the following steps. Firstly, customs officers shall check whether the authority which has validated the catch certificate is the competent authority notified to the Commission and verify the authenticity of stamps and signatures through the SMS database.

Subsequently, the information contained in the catch certificate is cross-checked with the data provided in the accompanying documents (invoice, veterinary certificate, transport documents). The customs officers shall check whether the data entered in the catch certificate follow a chronological order. The compliance with various RFMO's rules is also verified (inclusion on the RFMO vessel list, rules pertaining to transhipment, closure periods). If a third country is pre-identified, even more thorough verification of each catch certificate validated by the pre-identified country is undertaken, a special attention is paid to the issues raised in the decision on pre-identification.

The physical inspection of the means of transport is not part of the verification procedure but if deemed necessary it can be carried out at a later stage when the goods are presented for customs clearance.

To make the verification process more effective a simple application called Risk Areas has been created. The risk information relevant to the catch certificate verification procedure is entered into the application (information on pre-identification, mutual assistance letters, RFMO's rules, IUU vessel list) which can be easily accessed by keying in the vessel's name, flag state, species, etc. in the respective search fields. Customs officers are obliged to use this tool every time they carry out the catch certificate verification.

If the verification reveals inconsistencies or discrepancies a request for assistance to a flag state or a third country concerned is launched and the importation is suspended pending the outcome of the verification.

6.2. How many catch certificates have been verified by your administration from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019? Please specify, separately for each year:

-

¹⁹ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

Flag State of origin (EU or non-EU) ²⁰	Number of v	verifications	Number of verifications 2019			
(Be of non Be)	20	18				
	No of basic document-based verifications ²¹	No of in-depth verifications ²²	No of basic document-based verifications	No of in-depth verifications		
PA		64		83		
AR		4		6		
CA		10		7		
CL		1		0		
CN		12		5		
EC		103		95		
GH		7		6		
ID		13		26		
IS		18		0		
KR		18		19		
LK		0		20		
MA		151		168		
MU		0		1		
MV		4		19		
NA		1		2		
NI		4		3		
NO		4		7		
NZ		2		3		
OM		1		0		
PE		3		3		
PG		2		5		

²⁰ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.
²¹ See fields CC1 to CC6 (*Preliminary overview checks "helicopter view"*) of the EFCA Common methodology for IUU catch certificates verification and cross-checks.
²² See fields CC7 to CC32 (*Verify and cross-check information related to the form, flag state, validating authority, fishing vessel, product(s), transhipment operations*) of the EFCA Common methodology for IUU catch certificates verification and cross-checks.

Flag State of origin (EU or non-EU) ²⁰	Number of v	verifications	Number of verifications			
,	20	18	20	19		
	No of basic document-based verifications ²¹	No of in-depth verifications ²²	No of basic document-based verifications	No of in-depth verifications		
PH		63		93		
RU		135		166		
SC		5		26		
SN		3		0		
SV		2		7		
TH		1		0		
TR		0		1		
TW		3		4		
US		316		218		
VN		25		33		
ES		27		74		
GB		1		0		
IT		1		2		
FR		0		20		
IE		0		1		
Total		1004		1123		

6.3. Does	your	country	use	a	risk	assessment	approach	for	verification	of	catch	certificates	in
accord	dance	with Arti	cle 1	7?									

 $\boxtimes No$

 \square Yes

As the number of submitted catch certificates is manageable, every and each catch certificate is subject to checks and verifications. As a result, we do not deem it necessary to employ the risk assessment based on the EU criteria nor the national criteria.

If yes, please detail (e.g. EU criteria for verifications (Article 31 of Commission Regulation 1010/2009); EFCA risk assessment methodology; national criteria).

•••••	
6.4. Does you	r country also physically verify the consignments?
☐ Yes	oxtimes No
	sical examination is not part of the verification procedure, nevertheless the consignment hysically examined at the time of customs clearance.
	ease detail:
Number (j Method o	per year):
	p:
Section 7.	Verification requests to flag States ²³
Section 7.	Vermeation requests to mag States
	country sent requests for verifications under Article 17.6 of the IUU Regulation to other authorities in 2018-2019?

If yes, how many requests for verifications? Note: please provide separate data for 2018 and 2019:

 \square No

Flag States ²⁴	No of requests for verifications 2018	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation)-	No of requests for verifications 2019	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation
KR	1	The signature appearing in the catch certificate did not match any of the signatures provided in the SMS database.		
GH	1	No mention of conservation and management measures.		
MA			1	Lack of clarity with regard to the weight in the catch certificate.
RU			1	Lack of clarity with regard to the weight in the catch certificate.

²³ Section to be filled-in by all Member States ²⁴ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

 $\boxtimes Yes$

Flag States ²⁴	No of requests for verifications 2018	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation)-	No of requests for verifications 2019	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation
Total	2		2	

Total	2		2			
the dead send a request, (i.e. den)	line provided in Article eminder to the authorit despite reminder(s), re		ation? Does your coun estion? Could you ple What measures have y	try in these situations ease specify when the		
7.3. Was the quality of the answers provided overall sufficient to satisfy the request?						
\boxtimes Yes		No				

7.4. Has your country been using IT systems developed by third countries allowing for a full or partly verification of catch certificates and how many verifications were made through these systems (approximately)?

Where available, these IT systems were used. Approximately 603 verifications were undertaken through these IT systems.

Section 8. Information on refusal of importations (Article 18 of the IUU Regulation)²⁵

8.1. Has your country refus	sed any imports	from 1 January 20	018 until 31 De	cember 2019	? Note: please
only consider refusals		IUU Regulation,	not for other	reasons e.g.	Food Safety,
Customs legislation, et	tc.				

☐ Yes $\boxtimes No$

If yes, please provide details in the table below:

Reason for refusal of	2018		2019		
importation	Flag State ²⁶	No.	Flag State ²⁷	No.	
Non-submission of a catch certificate for products to be imported.					
The products intended for importation are not the same as those mentioned in the catch certificate.					
The catch certificate is not validated by the notified public authority of the flag State					
The catch certificate does not indicate all the required information.					
The importer is not in a position to prove that the fishery products comply with the conditions of Article 14.1 or 2.					
A fishing vessel figuring on the catch certificate as vessel of origin of the catches is included in the Union IUU vessel list or in the IUU vessel lists referred to in Article 30.					
The catch certificate has been validated by the authorities of a flag State identified as a non-cooperating State in accordance with Article 31					
Further to the request for verification (Article 18.2)					

8.2. If the answer to	8.1 is yes,	what	measures	were	taken	by your	authorities	towards	the	refused
fishery products?										

......

 ²⁵ Section to be filled-in by all Member States
 ²⁶ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.
 ²⁷ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

	case of refusal of importat untry?	ion, did the operators contest the decision of the authorities of your				
] Yes	\square No				
If y	yes, please detail:					
Section	n 9. Information on t	trade flows ²⁸				
	d your country note a char vering the period 2016-201	nge ²⁹ of imports of fishery products since the last reporting exercise 7?				
\boxtimes	1 Yes	\square No				
If y	yes, please detail:					
last rep the Cze Philippi in Thai	porting exercise covering the control of the contro	tterns was observed in the period 2018-2019 in comparison with the he period 2016-2017. The majority of fishery products imported to countries such as United States, Morocco, Ecuador, Russia, China, neless, there was a decline in imports of fishery products originating I Papua New Guinea while the number of imports of fishery products opines and Russia increased.				
	-	deriving from your country's statistical data, concerning change of shery products into your country:				
last rep the Cze Philippi in Thai	No significant change in trade patterns was observed in the period 2018-2019 in comparison with the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017. The majority of fishery products imported to the Czech Republic originated in countries such as United States, Morocco, Ecuador, Russia, China, Philippines and Vietnam. Nevertheless, there was a decline in imports of fishery products originating in Thailand, Vietnam, Canada and Papua New Guinea while the number of imports of fishery products originating in US, Ecuador, Philippines and Russia increased.					
Section	n 10. Information on 1	mutual assistance ³⁰				
		ercise covering the period 2016-2017, how many mutual assistance in has your country replied to?				
Pi	Please provide separate date	a for 2018 and 2019 (if any)				
20	018	6				
20	019	4				
		ercise covering the period 2016-2017, has your country sent any o the Commission/other Member States?				
P^{i}	Please provide separate data	a for 2018 and 2019 (if any)				

²⁸ Section to be filled-in by all Member States
²⁹ For example: new kinds of fishery products, new trade patterns or significant and sudden increase in trade volume for a certain species and/or certain third countries.
³⁰ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

2018	 	
2010		(

Section	on 11. Information or	n cooperation with third countries ³¹
6 5 1	exchange with third coun such as follow-up of case	and refusals under Articles 17 and 18, has your country had information tries on issues related to the implementation of the IUU Regulation, is concerning nationals, consignments, trade flows, operators, private as the investigation of criminal activities and serious infringements
	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes No$
]	If yes, please detail (please	e provide separate data for 2018 and 2019, if any).
Section	on 12. Information or	ı nationals ³²
1	or modified existing mea	tercise covering the period 2016-2017, has your country implemented sures to ensure that your country can take appropriate action with olved in IUU fishing in accordance with Article 39 of the IUU
	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes No$
	If yes, please detail:	
	What measures has your interests in third country v	country taken to encourage nationals to notify any information on essels (Article 40.1)?
	This is not relevant for the	Czech Republic being a landlocked country.
		oured to obtain information on arrangements between nationals and flagging of their vessels in accordance with Article 40.4?
	□ Yes	$oxed{\boxtimes} No$
I	f yes, please detail:	
	If yes to any of the abadministrative or penal fol	oove, how many cases have your country dealt with and which low-up was given?
	Please provide details:	
1	No administrative or penal	measures have been taken.
1		ace procedures to ensure that nationals do not sell or export any fishing lved in the operation, management or ownership of fishing vessels vessel list (Article 40.2)?
	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes No$
]	If yes, please provide detail	ils:
ı		e of Article 40.3 and removed public aid under national aid regimes or rators involved in the operation, management or ownership of fishing ion IUU vessel list?

³¹ Section to be filled-in by all Member States ³² Section to be filled-in by all Member States

	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes No$						
	If yes, please detail:							
	Section 13. In (Chapter X of the I			IX of the	e IUU Reg	gulation) an	nd Sightings	
13.1	. Has your country de from 1 January 2018				d in Article	42 of the IU	U Regulation	
	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes No$						
	If yes, please detail separately for each year the number of serious infringements, nature and sanctions applied:					s, nature and		
	Flag State of the vessel or nationality of the Serious infringements detected in 2018:				Se	Serious infringements detected in 2019:		
	operator (EU and non-EU) ³⁴	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied	
	Country 1							
	Country 2							
	Country x							
	Total							
13.2	13.2. Has your country applied or adapted its levels of administrative sanctions in accordance with Article 44?							
	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes No$						
	If yes, please detail:							
13.3. Has your country issued sighting reports from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019?								
	☐ Yes	⊠ No						
	If yes, how many si December 2019?	ghting repor	ts were issu	ed by your o	country from	1 January 2	2018 until 31	

³³ Section to be filled-in by all Member States ³⁴ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

	Flag State of the sighted vessel (EU and non- EU) ³⁵	No of sighting reports issued in 2018	No of sighting reports issued in 2019					
	Country 1							
	Country 2							
	Country x							
	Total							
13.4	13.4. Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, has your country received any sighting reports for its own vessels from other competent authorities?							
	☐ Yes	⊠ No						
	If yes, please detail f	follow-up (in accordance with Article	50 of the IUU Regulation).					
Sect	tion 14. General							
14.1		od 2018-2019, what have been the menting the IUU Regulation, including	nain difficulties that your country has g the catch certification scheme?					
No 1	major problems were e	ncountered during the given period.						
14.2	. Which improvemen implementation smoo	ts would your country suggest to other?	the Regulation that would make					
No s	suggestions for improv	ements.						
Sect	tion 15. Any other	comments						
		•••						

35 ISO Alpha-2 country codes.