24.4.2020

VN/9764/2020 VN/9764/2020-MMM-1

European Commission MARE B4

Ref. Ares(2020)758187 - 06/02/2020

## Biennial report on the application of the IUU Regulation No 1005/2008

Dear ,

Please, find attached the questionnaire for biennial reporting on the application of the IUU Regulation. The report of Finland was filled in by the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry in collaboration with the Centre for Economic Development, Transport and the Environment for Southwest Finland.

**Director General** 

Marja Kokkonen

Liitteet Biennial report on the application of the IUU Regulation No 1005/2008

Jakelu European Commission MARE B4 IUU Notifications

Tiedoksi MMM IUU Mailbox VARELY IUU Mailbox

Postiosoite Postadress Postal Address Maa- ja metsätalousministeriö

Hallituskatu 3 A Helsinki

Käyntiosoite

Office

Besöksadress

Puhelin Telefon Telephone Faksi Fax Fax

s-posti, internet e-post, internet e-mail, internet

# QUESTIONNAIRE to be used for biennial reporting on the application of the IUU Regulation

## Reporting period 2018-2019 (deadline for submission 30 April 2020)

Member State:	Finland
Organisation:	Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry
Date:	27.4.2020
Name, position and contact details of responsible official:	Marja Kokkonen, Director General Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Natural Resources Department PO Box 30, 00023, GOVERNMENT Luonnonvaraosasto@mmm.fi

May the Commission provide a copy of this questionnaire to other Member States?			
Yes:	Yes		
Yes except for questions (list):			
No:			

Please check if your notified authorities under the IUU Regulation correspond with the latest version of the Official Journal:
https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52019XC0215(01) - checked
Article 15.2 (exportation of catches):
Article 17.8 (verification of catch certificates):
Article 21.3 (re-exportation):
Please state your notified authorities under the IUU Regulation in accordance with:
Article 39.4 (nationals):

Section 1.	Information on legal framewo	rk <sup>1</sup>
national law		period 2016-2017, has your country adopted/modified or the application of Council Regulation (EC) No d fishing (IUU Regulation)?
$\square Yes$	⊠ No	

If yes, please detail and provide copies or provide link to the official national database

## Section 2. Information on administrative organisation<sup>2</sup>

2.1. Are there any changes as regards your administrative organisation since the last reporting exercise?

 $\square$  Yes  $\square$  No

If yes, please fill in the following questions.

2.2. Does your country have different authorities/services to deal with the implementation of the IUU Regulation?

 $\square$  Yes  $\square$  No

- 2.3. If different authorities/services are involved, please distinguish between:
  - the control of direct landings of third country fishing vessels;
  - validation of catch certificates upon exports;
  - verification of catch certificates for imports under direct landing;
  - verification of catch certificates for imports arriving by other means than fishing vessels (e.g. by containers, trucks);
  - validation and verifications of re-exports.
  - a) vertical co-operation (between local/regional authorities and head-quarter);

Please explain and describe this cooperation:

The Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry supervises, steers and coordinates the control of the CFP. The ELY Centre for Southwest Finland has independent powers to decide for catch certificate issues. In practise, The Ministry and the ELY Centre meet bilaterally as well as together with food safety authorities and Customs authorities to discuss matters related to IUU tasks

b) co-operation with other authorities and allocation of tasks for various authorities in the implementation of the IUU Regulation (Fisheries, Health, Customs, Coast Guard, Navy, etc.);

Please explain and describe this cooperation:

Customs are an integral part of the process for verification of the catch certificates of the imported products. ELY Centre receives the catch certificate electronically usually in advance for verification from the importer. The original catch certificate must be presented to the Customs together with other import documents and the Customs send the original document to the ELY Centre afterwards. The Customs do not release the imported fish to free circulation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This section 1 is to be filled-in by all Member States i.e. coastal and landlocked Member State.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This section 2 is to be filled-in by all Member States i.e. coastal and landlocked Member State.

before the ELY Centre has verified the catch certificate in the electronic system. Normally Finnish Food Authority is not a part of the IUU process but it carries out simultaneously its own veterinary border inspection independently of IUU verification. It's possible for the ELY Centre to carry out physical inspections with Customs and food safety authorities, if necessary. Coordination is needed in case of direct landings from third country vessels but this kind of activity has not taken place in Finland for decades.

	kind of activity has not taken place in Finland for decades.
c)	how many officials are involved in the implementation of the catch certification scheme?
	Please specify the number of officials expressed in Full Time Equivalent (FTE):
	Approximately 1.6 FTE
d)	Do the authorities of your country have the possibility to audit/verify a company for the purposes laid down in the IUU Regulation?
	$\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
exe	yes, which and how many audits/verifications have they undertaken since the last reporting ercise covering the period 2016-2017? Which authorities are responsible for dits/verifications? Please detail the results:
100	e rules on inspection, checks and verifications in Articles 9 to 17 of the IUU Regulation 05/2005 apply. However, no audits or verifications on the spot has been carried out for IUU rposes.
Do	es your country have freezones/freeports <sup>3</sup> in which activities relevant to portation/exportation/processing of fishery products are authorised?
	$\square Yes \qquad \qquad \boxtimes No$
Section countr	a 3. Information on direct landings and transhipments of fishery products by third y fishing vessels <sup>4</sup> (including information on port inspections and infringements) <sup>5</sup>
3.1. Ple	ease check if your list of designated ports correspond to the latest version of the Office Journal.
7.7	ps://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.C2019.276.01.0011.01.ENG - ecked
	es your country have designated ports for direct landings or transhipment operations of fishery oducts and port services of third country fishing vessels (Article 5 of the IUU Regulation <sup>6</sup> )?
$\boxtimes$	Yes \square No
	yes, please list your country's designated ports (including ports designated under Regional theries Management Organisations requirements) and answer to questions 3.2. to 3.7.:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://ec.europa.eu/taxation\_customs/business/customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones\_en

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Fishing vessels as defined in article 2.5 of the IUU Regulation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This section 3 refers to Chapter II (Articles 4 to 11) of the IUU Regulation and is applicable to coastal Member States. Landlocked Member States should not fill in this section.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Please note that ports designated under Regional Fisheries Management Organisations must also be designated under the IUU Regulation with restrictions if necessary (species etc.)

3.3. How many landings and transhipments in designated ports of third country vessels have been recorded by your country between 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019? How many inspections has your country carried out and how many infringements have been detected?

Please fill-in the table below (2018):

Inspections of third country vessels in Member States ports (2018)								
Type of operation Vessels				Flag o	f the thir	d country	vessel(s)	7
	Vessels	Figures (2018)	Ex. NO	FS1	FS2	FS3	FSx	Total
	Non-EU	Number of landings	100					0
sāu	vessels	Number of inspections	10					0
Landings	using MS	% of inspections / landings	10%					100%
designat ed ports	Number of infringements	3					0	
nts	S Non-EU	Number of transhipments in ports	2					0
Non-EU vessels using MS designat cd ports	Number of inspections	0					0	
	designat	% of inspections / transhipments	0					100%
	Number of infringements	0					0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

	Inspections of third country vessels in Member States ports (2019)							
Type of			Flag of the third country vessel(s) <sup>8</sup>					
operation	Vessels	Figures (2019)	Ex. NO	FS1	FS2	FS3	FSx	Total
	Non Ell	Number of landings	100					0
Landings	Non-EU vessels using MS	Number of inspections	10					0
Lanc	designated ports	% of inspections / landings	10%					100%
	ports	Number of infringements	3					0
nts	Non-EU	Number of transhipments in ports	2					0
Transhipments	vessels	Number of inspections	2					0
[rans]	designated ports	% of inspections / transhipments	100%					100%
		Number of infringements	0					0

3.4. From the figures above, in the cases where your country detected infringements by third country vessels between 1 January 2018 and 31 December 2019, please specify the flag, the vessel's name, the type of infringement and the measures taken (Article 11 of the IUU Regulation).

Please fill-in the table below (2018):

Flag of the third country vessel <sup>9</sup>	Name of the third country fishing vessel	Type of infringements	Measures taken
FS1			
FS2			
FSx			

Please fill-in the table below (2019):

Flag of the third country vessel <sup>10</sup>	Name of the third country fishing vessel	Type of infringements	Measures taken
FS1			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

FSx			
	atry had any problems with and 7 (authorisation) of the		when implementing Articles
$\square$ Yes	⊠ No		
If yes, please of	detail the nature of the prob	olems and the measures taker	n:
In 2018:			
In 2019:			
	ities of landing or tranship		to a fishing vessel for port
$\square$ Yes	⊠ No		
If yes, please of	detail the nature of the prob	olem, the number of vessels of	concerned and their flags:
In 2018:			
In 2019:			
	third country fishing vesse mber State? [Article 19.3 c		country with final destination
$\square$ Yes	⊠ No		
If yes, please i	ndicate the number of land	lings in transit per year:	
In 2018:			
In 2019:			
		inspection, does your countricted to the country icle 4 of Regulation (EC) No.	y use risk assessment criteria 1010/2009]?
☐ Yes	$\square$ No		
☑ Not applica	able (e.g. in the absence of	landings/transhipments from	n third countries)
If yes, please of	detail:		

FS2

## Section 4. Information on catch certification scheme for <u>importation</u> for the purpose of the IUU Regulation<sup>11</sup>

4.1. How many <u>catch certificates</u> from non-EU countries were presented to the authorities of your country from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019? Please complete the following table by flag state validating the catch certificates, including in cases catch certificates are accompanied by processing statements. 12

Flag State (non-EU) <sup>13</sup>	2018	2019
US	37	9
EC	22	10
SV	12	36
PH	64	64
FO	41	30
GII	0	1
GL	6	9
ID	28	2
IS	525	524
CA	34	29
CN	0	3
CO	12	8
KR	51	76
MV	40	79
MU	24	46
NO	624	698
PA	6	6
PG	24	38
SB	3	10
SC	241	217
TW	32	2
NZ	0	27
RU	8	13
VN	4	41
Total	1 804	1 949

4.2. From the number above, how many recognised <u>RFMO catch certificates</u> accompanied imports into your country? *Please detail per RFMO certificate and year*.

RFMO document	2018	2019
ICCAT (electronic)-bluefin tuna catch document	0	0
Dissostichus spp. (CCAMLR)	0	0
CCSBT CDS	0	0
Total	0	0

4.3. How many <u>catch certificates</u> from EU Member States were presented to the authorities of your country from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019?

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Section to be filled-in by all Member States. Article 2.11 of the IUU Regulation "importation means the introduction of fishery products into the territory of the Union, including for transhipment purposes at ports in its territory"

<sup>12</sup> If catch certificates are submitted only for transhipment purposes, please specify.

<sup>13</sup> ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

Flag State (EU)	2018	<b>20</b> 19
ES	198	293
IT	18	22
LT	ĨĨ	2
FR	211	345
EE	5	1
Total	443	663

4.4. From the number above, how many recognised <u>RFMO catch certificates</u> from EU Member States accompanied imports into your country? *Please detail per RFMO certificate and year*.

RFMO document	2018	2019
ICCAT (electronic)-bluefin		
tuna catch document	0	0
Dissostichus spp.		
(CCAMLR)	0	0
CCSBT CDS	0	0
Total	0	0

4.5. Has your country received	processing statements from 1 January 2018 until 31 December 2019?
$\boxtimes$ Yes	$\square$ No

If yes, how many <u>processing statements</u> under Article 14.2 accompanied imports into your country? If possible, please provide details per year and per processing country.

Processing non-EU State <sup>14</sup>	2018	2019
State <sup>14</sup>		
EC	1	4
SV	7	10
PH	3	1
CN	17	10
MU	128	166
SC	13	21
TH	149	182
RU	0	4
VN	0	5
Total	342	442

Total	342	442
4.6. Please indicate if the certificates is retained	ne information in <u>processing statements</u> ed and recorded:	referring to the corresponding catch
$\boxtimes$ Yes	$\square$ No	
□ <i>Not applicable (e</i> 2018-2019)	e.g. in the absence of processing statemen	its received from non-EU countries in
4 7 Has your country rec	ceived requests to authorise APEO15s in 2	.018-2019?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

$\square$ Yes		⊠ No			
authorised?		s has your country	received and	how many APEOs have	e been
		ministrative rules refe	erring to the m	anagement and control of	`APEO
$\square$ Yes	Γ	$\supset No$			
⊠ Not applic	cable (e.g. abser	nce of APEO request)	1		
If yes, please	detail:				
Has your cour 31 December		e-export certificates f	or products im	ported from 1 January 201	18 until
$\square$ Yes		⊠ No			
If yes, how country:	many re-export	certificates? Please	detail per year	and, if possible, per dest	tination
Destination country (necessity) EU) 16		2018		2019	
EU)					
Third Countr	y I				
,					
Third Counti					
Third Counti	y 2				
Third Counti Third Counti	y 2				
Third Counti Third Counti Third Counti Total  Does you	ry 2		which your c	ountry has validated a <u>re</u>	-export
Third Counti Third Counti Third Counti Total  Does you	r country monitially leave the E		which your c	ountry has validated a <u>re</u>	-export
Third Country  Third Country  Third Country  Total  Does you certificate actus  Yes	ry 2  ry x  r country monitually leave the E	EU? □ <i>No</i>		ountry has validated a <u>re</u> certificates in 2018-2019)	-export
Third Country  Third Country  Third Country  Total  Does you certificate actus  Yes	ry 2  ry x  r country monically leave the E	EU? □ <i>No</i>			-export
Third Country Third Country Third Country Total  Does you certificate active  Yes  Not applied	ry 2  ry x  r country monically leave the E	EU? □ <i>No</i>			-export
Third Country Third Country Third Country Total  Does you certificate active  Yes  Not applied	ry 2  ry x  r country monically leave the E	EU? □ <i>No</i>			-export
Third Country Third Country Third Country Total  Does you certificate active  Yes  Not applied	ry 2  ry x  r country monically leave the E	EU? □ <i>No</i>			-export
Third Country Third Country  Third Country Total  Does you certificate actus  Yes  Not applic  If yes, please	ry 2  ry x  r country monicually leave the E  cable (e.g. in the	EU?  No e absence of validation eshed any IT tools to	n of re-export (		

Approved Economic Operators – IUU Regulation, Article 16 and Implementing Regulation (EC) 1010/2009,
 Chapter II
 ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

$\boxtimes Yes$	$\square$ No		
If yes, does it inc	lude a module for	r re-exportation of imported cate	hes?
$\square$ Yes	⊠ No		
4.12. Does your count entry or the place	• •	e provisions regarding transit un	der Article 19.2 at the point of
$\Box$ At the point $a$	of entry	☑ At the place of destination	☐ Not implemented
	e Catch Certifica	f the Catch Certificate before te can be made after the tran	•

		ation on catch certification scheme i	or exportation
5.1.		stablished a procedure for validation essels in accordance with Article 15?	of catch certificates for exportation of
	⊠ Yes	$\square$ No	
	□ Not applicable ( 2019)	e.g. in the absence of validation of co	atch certificates for exportation in 2018-
	If yes, please explain	n briefly the established procedure and	l answer questions 5.2 to 5.5.
	verifies the informat control. The ELY Cer	tion (fishing areas, fishing days and lo	entre by letter or e-mail. The ELY Centre andings) using the IT system for fisheries aped certificate by letter or e-mail to the ne national IT system.
5.2.	Has your country v Article 15?	alidated catch certificates for export	ation in 2018-2019 in accordance with
	☑ Yes	$\square$ No	
			ate from 1 January 2018 to 31 December aird country/country of destination in the
	-	3	l'ear
	Destination		· Cui
	Destination State <sup>18</sup>	2018	2019
	- [1] - [1]	0	<b>2019</b>
	State <sup>18</sup>		2019
5.3.	State <sup>18</sup> UA  Total	0 0 stablished any IT tool to monitor the	<b>2019</b>
5.3.	State <sup>18</sup> UA  Total  Has your country cs	0 0 stablished any IT tool to monitor the	<b>2019</b> 3 3
	State <sup>18</sup> UA  Total  Has your country esstemming from own    Yes	0 0 stablished any IT tool to monitor the vessels?  □ No nonitor that the catches for which you	<b>2019</b> 3 3
	State¹8  UA  Total  Has your country esstemming from own	0 0 stablished any IT tool to monitor the vessels?  □ No nonitor that the catches for which you	3 3 catch certificates validated for exports
	State 18  UA  Total  Has your country esstemming from own   Yes  Does your country in actually leave the EU  Yes	0  stablished any IT tool to monitor the vessels?  □ No  nonitor that the catches for which you J?  ⊠ No	3 3 catch certificates validated for exports
5.4.	State¹8  UA  Total  Has your country esstemming from own	ostablished any IT tool to monitor the vessels?  No nonitor that the catches for which you J?  No No e.g. in the absence of validation of catches.	3 3 catch certificates validated for exports r country has validated catch certificates
5.4.	State¹8  UA  Total  Has your country esstemming from own	ostablished any IT tool to monitor the vessels?  No nonitor that the catches for which you J?  No No e.g. in the absence of validation of catches.	2019 3 3 catch certificates validated for exports r country has validated eatch certificates

Section to be filled-in by flag Member States.
 ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

If yes, please detail:	
Number (per year):	
Reason:	
Follow-up:	
Section 6. Information on verifications of catch certificates for importation accord Article 17.1 to 17.5 of IUU Regulation <sup>19</sup>	ling to
6.1. Has your country established a procedure for verification of catch certificates for importa accordance with Article 17.2?	tion in
$\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
If yes, please detail: The whole procedure of the verification is carried out in the national system. The IT system includes warnings and blockades that automatically recognise for extine following characteristics: vessel, fish species and country of origin as well as import exporter. There is also a possibility to record the refusals of CC's by other Member States. system is under constant development. Currently, especially the risk analysis (e.g. yellow countries) and reporting functions have been improved.	cample er and <b>The IT</b>
In case the risk analysis in the IT system gives a reason the catch certificates are checked in accordance with the EFCA common methodology.	deeper
6.2. How many catch certificates have been verified by your administration from 1 January 201 31 December 2019? Please specify, separately for each year:	8 until
There was not yet a reporting function during 2018-2019 in the system so that number data be given on this question. For example, all catch certificates of risk countries like yellow countries are checked in depth. See also answers 6.1 above and 6.3 below.	
6.3.Does your country use a risk assessment approach for verification of catch certificate accordance with Article 17?	ites in
$\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No	
If yes, please detail (e.g. EU criteria for verifications (Article 31 of Commission Reg 1010/2009); EFCA risk assessment methodology; national criteria).	ulation
The risk assessment is constructed in the IT system. In case the IT system gives a warnin catch certificate it will be inspected deeper.	g on a
6.4. Does your country also physically verify the consignments?	
$\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No	
If yes, please detail:	
Number (per year): Method of selection: Follow-up:	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Section to be filled-in by all Member States

## Section 7. Verification requests to flag States<sup>20</sup>

	r country sent requests s authorities in 2018-20		Article 17.6 of the IUI	U Regulation to other
⊠ Yes		No		
If yes, h 2019:	now many requests for	verifications? Note: p	olease provide separai	te data for 2018 and
Flag States <sup>21</sup>	No of requests for verifications 2018	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation)-	No of requests for verifications 2019	Justifications (Articles 17.4 and 17.6 of the IUU Regulation
TW	1	17.4/17.6		
CN	1	17.4/17.6		
GH			1	17.4/17.6
PA			1	17,4/17,6
NZ			1	17.4/17.6
MV			1	17.4/17.6
SC			1	17.4/17.6
ES			1	17.4/17.6
TH			1	17.4/17.6
US	1	17.4/17.6		304000000000000000000000000000000000000
Total	3		7	
the dead send a request, (i.e. deni 2018  2019	any requests for verificaline provided in Article eminder to the authoritidespite reminder(s), resial of importation) [Pleasure of the authorities asked the est it was realised tha	17.6 of the IUU Regul les of the country in quemained unanswered? Vase provide separate dat information about miss	ation? Does your countestion? Could you ple What measures have y a for 2018 and 2019]	try in these situations are specify when the ou taken in that case an't get answer. After

7.4. Has your country been using IT systems developed by third countries allowing for a full or partly verification of eatch certificates and how many verifications were made through these systems (approximately)?

7.3. Was the quality of the answers provided overall sufficient to satisfy the request?

 $\square$  No

Roughly about once a week, e.g. Norway and Maldives. With Russian system we started in 2020.

thought that CC was ok.

 $\boxtimes$  Yes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Section to be filled-in by all Member States

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

#### Information on refusal of importations (Article 18 of the IUU Regulation)<sup>22</sup> Section 8.

8.1. Has your country only consider re Customs legislati	fusals based on the	STATE OF THE STATE		
$\square$ Yes	⊠ No			

If yes, please provide details in the table below:

Reason for refusal of	2018		2019	
importation	Flag State <sup>23</sup>	No.	Flag State <sup>24</sup>	No.
Non-submission of a catch certificate for products to be imported.				
The products intended for importation are not the same as those mentioned in the catch certificate.				
The catch certificate is not validated by the notified public authority of the flag State				
The catch certificate does not indicate all the required information.				
The importer is not in a position to prove that the fishery products comply with the conditions of Article 14.1 or 2.				
A fishing vessel figuring on the catch certificate as vessel of origin of the catches is included in the Union IUU vessel list or in the IUU vessel lists referred to in Article 30.				
The catch certificate has been validated by the authorities of a flag State identified as a non-cooperating State in accordance with Article 31				
Further to the request for verification (Article 18.2)				

answer product	is	yes,	what	measures	were	taken	by	your	authorities	towards	the	refused

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Section to be filled-in by all Member States
<sup>23</sup> ISO Alpha-2 country codes.
<sup>24</sup> ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

8.3. In case of country?	f refusal of importation, did the operators contest the decision of the authorities of your
$\square$ Yes	$\square$ No
If yes, ple	ease detail:
Section 9.	Information on trade flows <sup>25</sup>
	country note a change <sup>26</sup> of imports of fishery products since the last reporting exercise the period 2016-2017?
ĭ Yes	$\square$ No
If n1	asso details. The information given have is based on data from estab contificates and

If yes, please detail: The information given here is based on data from catch certificates and processing statements received in Finland. Generally, however, most part of fish imported to Finland came without IUU documents, as the main importing countries for fish and fish products were Norway, Sweden, Denmark and Estonia, and the fish from Norway is mainly farmed salmon.

In light of catch certificates and processing statements imports of fish products into Finland for all species slightly increased during period 2018–2019 compared to the previous one.

In total, imports of skipjack into Finland have increased by 12% in kilograms, but the number of catch certificates has decreased by 13%. When comparing the previous period 2016-2017 with this period 2018-2019, a clear change can be observed with regard to the yellow card countries Ecuador and Vietnam. Imports from Ecuador have increased relatively a lot (catch certificates 131% and fish volumes 207%), the total volumes being 336 tonnes in 2018-2019. There were no imports of skipjack from Vietnam to Finland on the previous period, but during 2018-2019 there were imports of 354 tonnes (42 catch certificates).

For skipjack, imports have decreased by kilograms from Taiwan (-86%), Papua New Guinea (-46%), Panama (-66%), among others. For skipjack, imports have increased by kilograms from the Maldives (497%) and Korea (67%), for example. The biggest importing countries for skipjack in 2018-2019 were Maldives (3 000 tonnes), Seychelles (1 815 tonnes), Spain (1 700 tonnes) and France (1 689 tonnes).

Imports of yellowfin tuna have increased by 770% compared to the previous period, previously only from the Philippines, but during the past period imports have also been made from the USA, Ecuador, the Maldives, Papua New Guinea and Taiwan. The total volumes being 282 tonnes in 2018-2019.

For cod, the number of catch certificates has increased by 38%, but the number of kilograms has decreased by 54%, i.e. importers order cod in smaller batches.

Total imports of shrimps show an increase in both cc numbers (+ 54%) and shrimps in kg (+ 17%). Shrimps come to Finland from seven different countries, and imports from all countries have increased.

9.2. Please provide information, deriving from your country's statistical data, concerning change of trade patterns in imports of fishery products into your country:

We don't see any difference at all between points 9.1. and 9.2., so please refer to the answer above.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Section to be filled-in by all Member States

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> For example: new kinds of fishery products, new trade patterns or significant and sudden increase in trade volume for a certain species and/or certain third countries.

## Section 10. Information on mutual assistance<sup>27</sup>

10.1. Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017	, how	many	mutual	assistance
messages of the Commission has your country replied to?				

Please provide separate data for 2018 and 2019 (if any)

2018: 6

2019: 4

10.2. Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, has your country sent any mutual assistance message to the Commission/other Member States?

Please provide separate data for 2018 and 2019 (if any)

2018: 0

2019: 1

16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Section to be filled-in by all Member States

Section 11. Information on cooperation with third countries <sup>28</sup>	
11.1. Apart from verifications and refusals under Articles 17 and 18, has your country had informate exchange with third countries on issues related to the implementation of the IUU Regulat such as follow-up of cases concerning nationals, consignments, trade flows, operators, prinfishing licencing, as well as the investigation of criminal activities and serious infringence (Article 42)?	ion, vate
$\square$ Yes $\bowtie$ No	
If yes, please detail (please provide separate data for 2018 and 2019, if any).	
Section 12. Information on nationals <sup>29</sup>	
12.1. Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, has your country implement or modified existing measures to ensure that your country can take appropriate action vegards to nationals involved in IUU fishing in accordance with Article 39 of the Regulation?	with
$\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No	
If yes, please detail:	
12.2. What measures has your country taken to encourage nationals to notify any information interests in third country vessels (Article 40.1)?	on
:=	
12.3. Has your country endeavoured to obtain information on arrangements between nationals third countries allowing reflagging of their vessels in accordance with Article 40.4?	and
$\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No	
If yes, please detail:	
12.4. If yes to any of the above, how many cases have your country dealt with and wl administrative or penal follow-up was given?	nich
Please provide details:	
12.5. Has your country put in place procedures to ensure that nationals do not sell or export any fish vessels to operators involved in the operation, management or ownership of fishing ves included in the Union IUU vessel list (Article 40.2)?	
$\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No	
If yes, please provide details:	
12.6. Has your country made use of Article 40.3 and removed public aid under national aid regime under Union funds to operators involved in the operation, management or ownership of fish vessels included in the Union IUU vessel list?	
□ Yes ⊠ No	

Section to be filled-in by all Member StatesSection to be filled-in by all Member States

	If yes, please detail:							
	Section 13. In (Chapter X of the I		nts (Chapter	IX of th	e IUU F	Regulation)	and	Sightings
13.1	. Has your country de from 1 January 2018				ed in Artic	le 42 of the I	UU I	Regulation
	$\square$ Yes		No					
	If yes, please detail sanctions applied:	separately	for each year	r the numbe	r of seriou	is infringeme	nts, r	nature and
	Flag State of the vessel or nationality of the	5	Serious infrin detected in			Serious infri detected i		
	operator (EU and non-EU) <sup>31</sup>	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied	Number	Nature	5	Sanctions applied
	Finland	1	Art. 3.1.e	Case open	1	Art. 3.1.e		Case open
	Total		1	Is .	2	1		
13,2	2. Has your country ap Article 44?	pplied or a $\boxtimes N$		els of admin	nistrative s	anctions in a	ccord	lance with
	If yes, please detail:							
13.3	B. Has your country iss	ued sightin	g reports from	1 January 2	018 until 3	1 December 2	2019	?
	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes N$	No					
	If yes, how many so December 2019?	ighting rep	orts were issu	ed by your	country fr	om 1 January	201	8 until 31
	Flag State of the sighted vessel (EU and non- EU) <sup>32</sup>	]	No of sighting issued in 2		No	of sighting r in 20		ts issued
	Country 1							
	Country 2							
	744							

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Section to be filled-in by all Member States
 <sup>31</sup> ISO Alpha-2 country codes.
 <sup>32</sup> ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

Flag State of the sighted vessel (EU and non-EU) <sup>32</sup>	No of sighting reports issued in 2018	No of sighting reports issued in 2019
Country x		
Total		
		2017

13.4. Since the last reporting exercise covering the period 2016-2017, has your country received any sighting reports for its own vessels from other competent authorities?							
□ Yes 🖾 I	No						
If yes, please detail follow-up (in accordance with Article 50 of the IUU Regulation).							
Section 14. General							
	2019, what have been the main difficulties that your country has the IUU Regulation, including the catch certification scheme?						
14.2. Which improvements would your country suggest to the Regulation that would make implementation smoother?							
Section 15. Any other commen	ts						

...



## Asiakirjan sähköinen allekirjoitus Elektronisk underskrift av dokument Electronic signature of a document

## Asia / Sak / Case:

VN/9764/2020

Biennial report on the application of the IUU Regulation No 1005/2008

## Asiakirja / Dokument / Document:

VN/9764/2020-MMM-1

Biennial report on the application of the IUU Regulation No 1005/2008

## Allekirjoitukset / Underskrifter / Signatures:

Allekirjoittaja Undertecknare Signed by	Allekirjoituspäivämäärä Datum för underskrift Date of signature	Varmenteen myöntäjä Certifikatutfärdare Certificate issued by
	2020-04-24T10:36:21	
Kokkonen Marja	2020-04-27T08:01:55	

Sähköinen varmennetieto / Elektronisk certifikatuppgift / Electronic certificate information: e336f61234cc8de8a91304fb2f2dc033