Ares(2022) 1499976 - 01.03.2022

QUESTIONNAIRE to be used for biennial reporting on the application of the IUU Regulation

Reporting period 2020-2021 (deadline for submission 30 April 2022)

Member State:	GREECE
Organisation:	MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT & FOOD, DIRECTORATE GENERAL FOR FISHERIES, Control Directorate of Fishing Activities and Products IUU Department
Date:	
Name, position and contact details of responsible official:	IUU Department e-mail: @minagric.gr telephone No.:

May the Commission provide a copy of this questionnaire to other Member States and the European Fisheries Control Agency?				
Yes:	X			
Yes except for questions (list):				
No:				

Please check if your notified authorities under the IUU Regulation (Articles 15.2, 17.8 and 21.3) correspond with the latest version of the Official Journal:

https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52021XC0215(01)

If not, please provide the updated notification to DG MARE through the Functional mailbox: MARE-NOTIFICATIONS-IUU-1005-2008@ec.europa.eu

Please state your notified authorities under the IUU Regulation in accordance with Article 39.4 (nationals):

MINISTRY OF RUR	AL DEVELOPMENT & FOOD,
DIRECTORATE GE	NERAL FOR FISHERIES
CONTROL DIRECT	TORATE OF FISHING ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTS
Tel:	

Fax:
Email: @minagric.gr
150 Sygrou Avenue
17671 ATHENS

Section 1. Information on legal framework¹

Please transmit your national law and/or any administrative guides for the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008 on illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing (IUU Regulation). If available, please provide the link to the official national database.

http://www.alieia.minagric.gr/node/45

Section 2. Information on administrative organisation²

- 2.1. Please provide information on your administrative organisation for the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008 on illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing (IUU Regulation), in particular as regards:
 - port inspections (Chapter II);
 - catch certification scheme (Chapter III).

If different authorities/services are involved in the implementation of the IUU Regulation, please distinguish between:

- the control of direct landings of third country fishing vessels;
- validation of catch certificates upon exports;
- checks and verifications of catch certificates for imports under direct landing;
- checks and verifications of catch certificates for imports arriving by other means than fishing vessels (e.g. by containers, trucks);
- validation and verifications of re-exports.

In addition (if different authorities/services are involved), please explain and describe:

- a) vertical co-operation (between local/regional authorities and head-quarter);
 - In implementing the provisions of Reg (EC) 1005/2008 and specifically regarding the procedures of control and validation of export CC, of control and approval of the import CC, the Central and Regional Fisheries Administration are involved. In procedures of inspection and of access to third country vessels in the designated ports, the competent Port Authorities are additionally involved.
- b) co-operation between different authorities and allocation of tasks for various authorities in the implementation of the IUU Regulation (Fisheries, Health, Customs, Coast Guard, Navy, etc.).

In procedures of the provisions of Reg (EC) 1005/2008 cooperation is developed with the Port Authorities, veterinary and customs authorities

¹ This section 1 is to be filled-in by all Member States i.e. coastal and landlocked Member State.

² This section 2 is to be filled-in by all Member States i.e. coastal and landlocked Member State.

2.2. How many officials are involved in the implementation of the catch certification scheme?

Please specify the number of officials expressed in Full Time Equivalent (FTE):

- for checks and verifications in accordance with Articles 16 and 17;
 The directly involved fisheries officers who handle checks and verifications at a daily basis are 4 employees
- for validation of catch certificates and re-export certificates in accordance with Articles 15.2 and 21.3.

The directly involved fisheries officers who handle theese procedures at a daily basis are 3 employees

1					
•	•	freezones/freeports ³ ng of fishery products are		relevant	to
$\boxtimes Yes$		To .			
If ves, ple	ase provide a list o	f such zones/ports.			

- 1. Free zone of Piraeus
- 2. Free zone of Thessaloniki
- 3. Free Zone of Heraclion

latest version of the Office Journal:

4. Free Zone of Platigiali, Astakos Etoloakarnanias

Section 3. Information on access to ports, including for direct landings and transhipments of fishery products, by third country fishing vessels⁴ (and information on related port inspections and confirmed infringements)⁵

	ountry have designated ports			_
transhipment (of fishery products by third cou	ntry fishing vessels	(Article 5 of the	e IUU Regulation⁰)?
X Yes	\square No			
If yes, please	check if your list of designated	ports in accordance	e with Article 5.	3 corresponds to the

EUR-Lex - 52021XC1201(03) - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu)

If not, please provide the updated notification to DG MARE through the Functional mailbox: MARE-NOTIFICATIONS-IUU-1005-2008@ec.europa.eu

3.2. In years 2020 and 2021 respectively, how many times have third country fishing vessels been granted authorisation to access designated ports in your country only for repair/maintenance/supply?⁷

³ https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/business/customs-procedures/what-is-importation/free-zones_en

⁴ Fishing vessels as defined in article 2.5 of the IUU Regulation.

⁵ This section 3 refers to Chapter II (Articles 4 to 11) of the IUU Regulation and is applicable to coastal Member States. Landlocked Member States should not fill in this section.

⁶ Please note that ports designated under Regional Fisheries Management Organisations must also be designated under the IUU Regulation with restrictions if necessary (species, etc.).

⁷ It is reminded that provisions of Chapter II apply to third country fishing vessels aiming to access EU ports for port services, even in cases they do not carry fishery products on board and therefore no landing or transhipment operation is foreseen. Only designated ports in accordance with Article 5 can be used in this context.

NON

3.3. How many landings and transhipments in designated ports by third country fishing vessels have been recorded by your country between 1 January 2020 until 31 December 2021? How many inspections did your country carry out and how many infringements have been detected?

NON

Please fill-in the table below (2020):

	Inspections of third country vessels in Member States ports (2020)							
Type of	***	F: (2020)		Flag o	f the thir	d country	vessel(s)	8
operation	Vessels	Figures (2020)	Ex. NO	FS1	FS2	FS3	FSx	Total
	Non-EU	Number of landings	100					
sgu	vessels	Number of inspections	10					
Landings	using MS designat	% of inspections / landings	10%					
	ed ports	Number of infringements	3					
nts	Non-EU	Number of transhipments in ports	2					
nipme	vessels using MS designat ed ports	Number of inspections	0					
Transhipments		% of inspections / transhipments	0					
Ľ		Number of infringements	0					

4

⁸ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

	Inspections of third country vessels in Member States ports (2021)							
Type of			Flag of the third country vessel(s)9			9		
operation	Vessels	Figures (2021)	Ex. NO	FS1	FS2	FS3	FSx	Total
	Non-EU	Number of landings	100					
Landings	vessels	Number of inspections	10					
Lanc	using MS designated	% of inspections / landings	10%					
	ports	Number of infringements	3					
nts	Non-EU	Number of transhipments in ports	2					
Transhipments	vessels using MS	Number of inspections	2					
Frans	designated ports	% of inspections / transhipments	100%					
Ĺ		Number of infringements	0					

3.4. From the figures above, in the cases where your country detected infringements concerning third country vessels, please specify for each infringement the flag, the vessel's name, the type of infringement and the measures taken / sanction imposed (Article 11 of the IUU Regulation).

Please fill-in the table below (2020):

Flag of the third country vessel ¹⁰	Name of the third country fishing vessel	Type of infringements	Measures taken
FS1			
FS2			
FSx			

Please fill-in the table below (2021):

Flag of the third country vessel ¹¹	Name of the third country fishing vessel	Type of infringements	Measures taken
FS1			

⁹ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

¹⁰ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

¹¹ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

FS2		
FSx		

3.5. Please provide:

- the quantities of fish landed by third countries' fishing vessels in your designated ports in 2020 and 2021, respectively (by species and flag of the vessels);
- the quantities of fish transhipped from third countries' fishing donor vessels (to third countries or EU fishing receiving vessels) in your designated ports in 2020 and 2021, respectively (by species and flag of the vessels):

Please fill-in the table below (landings):

Landir	ngs in 2020	Landings in 2021		
Flag of the third country vessel ¹²	Landed quantities by species	Flag of the third country vessel ¹³	Landed quantities by species	
FS1	Species 1:	FS1	Species 1:	
	Species 2:		Species 2:	
	Species x:		Species x:	
FS2	Species 1:	FS2	Species 1:	
	Species 2:		Species 2:	
	Species x:		Species x:	
FSx		FSx		

Please fill-in the table below (transhipments):

Transhipments in 2020		Transhipm	ents in 2021
Flag of the third country vessel ¹⁴ Transhipped quantities by species		Flag of the third country vessel ¹⁵	Transhipped quantities by species
FS1	Species 1:	FS1	Species 1:
	Species 2:		Species 2:
	Species x:		Species x:

¹² ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

¹³ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

¹⁴ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

¹⁵ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

		Species x:		Species x:
F	Sx		FSx	
3.6			mpliance by third country horisation) of the IUU Re	
	\square Yes	$\boxtimes No$		
	If yes, please detail th	e nature of the infringement	nt and the measures taken	/ sanctions applied:
	In 2020:			
	In 2021:			
3.7			cess to its ports to a fishing ed on the conditions of the	
	\square Yes	$\boxtimes No$		
If yes, please describe the case for each vessel concerned (please include the flag of the vessel its name) and detail the reasons for the denial:				the flag of the vessel and
	In 2020:			
	In 2021:			
3.8		third country fishing vess ember State? [Article 19.3	sels landing in your ports of the IUU Regulation]	with the landed products
	\square Yes	$\boxtimes No$		
	If yes, please indicate	the number of landings m	eant for transit:	
	In 2020:			
	In 2021:			
3.9. In order to identify the vessels to be subject to port inspection, do you use risk assessm [cf. benchmarks for port inspections, Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No1010/2009]?				
	\square Yes	\square No		
	⊠ Not applicable (e.g	t. in the absence of landing	gs/transhipments from thir	d countries)
		of the IUU Regulation,	ve of 5% of landing and tra which benchmarks you	

FS2

Species 1:

Species 2:

Species 1:

Species 2:

FS2

Section 4. Information on catch certification scheme for $\underline{importation}$ for the purpose of the IUU Regulation 16

4.1. How many <u>catch certificates</u>¹⁷ from non-EU countries were submitted to the authorities of your country from 1 January 2020 until 31 December 2021? Please complete the following table by flag State validating the catch certificates, including in cases catch certificates are accompanied by processing statements. Please only provide information on catch certificates accompanying the consignments to be imported in your country; for consignments meant for transit to another Member State (Article 19.1 of the IUU Regulation), please see the question 4.7. of this questionnaire.

Flag State (non-EU)[1]	2020	2021
AL	12	5
AR	96	132
AU	0	4
BZ	1	2
CA	61	124
CL	0	1
CN	139	220
EC	39	23
EG	1	5
FO	0	1
GH	2	4
GL	7	1
GM	4	17
ID	163	308
IN	344	493
IS	14	74
JM	0	7
KI	1	0
KE	1	9
KR	7	8
MA	173	192
MG	5	6
MM	0	1
MR	61	52
MV	12	17

¹⁶ Section to be filled-in by all Member States.

¹⁷ Please provide only the number of catch certificates i.e. not the number of all transactions (imports/declarations) where the same certificates have ben (re)used.

¹⁸ If catch certificates are submitted only for transhipment purposes, please specify.

MX	16	24
NA	8	10
NG	15	0
NO	43	58
NZ	139	193
OM	5	1
PA	13	12
PE	54	72
PG	2	4
PH	82	85
RU	84	64
SB	3	1
SC	0	1
SN	927	1.372
TH	24	29
TN	6	31
TR	712	932
TW	25	14
UK	0	31
US	89	66
UY	7	9
VN	14	28
ZA	47	79
Total	3.458	4.822

4.2. From the number above, how many recognised <u>RFMO catch certificates (Annex V to Commission Regulation 1010/2009)</u> accompanied consignments destined to your country? *Please detail per RFMO certificate and year*.

RFMO document	2020	2021
ICCAT (electronic)-bluefin tuna catch document	-	-
Dissostichus spp. (CCAMLR)	-	-
CCSBT CDS	-	-
Total		

4.3. How many <u>catch certificates</u> from EU Member States (including from your country) were presented to the authorities of your country from 1 January 2020 until 31 December 2021?

Flag State (EU)	2020	2021
FR	5	13
ES	21	30
NL		1
PT	1	
HR		3
UK	4	
Total	31	47

4.4. From the number above, how many recognised <u>RFMO catch certificates</u> from EU Member States accompanied imports into your country? *Please detail per RFMO certificate and year*.

RFMO document	2020	2021
ICCAT (electronic)-bluefin tuna catch document	-	-
Dissostichus spp. (CCAMLR)	-	-
CCSBT CDS	-	-
Total		

4.5. How many <u>processing statements</u> (Article 14.2) were submitted to the authorities of your country from 1 January 2020 until 31 December 2021? Please provide details per year and per processing country.

Processing non-EU		
State[1]	2020	2021
CA		1
CN	292	252
EC	20	28
GH	3	2
GT	1	
ID		2
IN	4	4
KR	1	
MK	4	3
NO	11	7
PE	2	
PG	11	10
SC		1
TH	135	101
TR	1	3
TW	26	32

UK		
		6
US	2	1
VN	9	3
XS		1
Total	522	457

4.6	4.6. Please indicate if you retain and record the information contained in <u>processing statements</u> referring to the corresponding catch certificates (quantity management):			
	\boxtimes Yes	\square No		
	\square Not applicable (e.g. in 2020-2021)	the absence of processing statements received from non-EU countries in		
4.7		third country fishery products arriving to your country (entry point) were ser State? [Article 19.1 of the IUU Regulation]		
	□Yes	X No		
	If yes, please indicate the	number consignments meant for transit:		
	In 2020:			
	In 2021:			
4.8	Has your country received	I requests to authorise APEOs ¹⁹ in 2020-2021?		
	\square Yes	oxtimes No		
		s has your country received and how many APEOs have been authorised?		
4.9	Has your country adopted in 2020-2021?	administrative rules referring to the management and control of APEOs		
	\square Yes	\square No		
	⊠ Not applicable (e.g. a	bsence of APEO request)		
	If yes, please detail:			
4.1	O. Has your country valuntil 31 December 2021?	idated re-export certificates for products imported from 1 January 2020		
	$\boxtimes Yes$	\square No		

 $^{^{19}}$ Approved Economic Operators – IUU Regulation, Article 16 and Implementing Regulation (EC) 1010/2009, Chapter II

If yes, how many re-export certificates? Please detail per year and, if possible, per destination country:

Destination country (non-EU) ²⁰	2020	2021
US	2	4
Total	2	4

	Total				
4.11. <u>c</u>	Does your countrertificate actually lea		the catches for which yo	ur country has	validated a re-export
	\boxtimes Yes	\square No			
	□ Not applicable (e.	g. in the abser	nce of validation of re-exp	ort certificates	in 2020-2021)
	If yes, please detail:				
	Close the Re-Export	case upon rec	eipt the Customs Declarat	tion	
4.12. s	Has your country tatements accompany		any IT tools to monitor t	he catch certif	icates and processing
	\square Yes	$\boxtimes No$			
	If yes, does it include	e a module for	re-exportation of importe	d catches?	
	\square Yes	$\boxtimes No$			
4.13.	Does your country i entry or the place of	•	provisions regarding tran	sit under Artic	le 19.2 at the point of
	\boxtimes At the point of en	atry	\Box At the place of destina	ution	□ Not implemented

²⁰ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

Section 5.	Informatio	n on catch certification scheme	e for exportation ²¹		
		procedure for validation of catch ace with Article 15?	certificates for exportation of catches from		
⊠ Yes		\square No			
□ <i>Not app</i> 2021)	☐ Not applicable (e.g. in the absence of validation of catch certificates for exportation in 2020-2021)				
If yes, pleas	e explain br	iefly the established procedure as	nd answer questions 5.2 to 5.5.		
Landing Deare examin	eclaration a ed.	and in the first sale statement o	e data entered into the logbook, in the or in the sale invoice of fishery products 2020-2021 in accordance with Article 15?		
			om 1 January 2020 to 31 December 2021? try of destination in the following table: Year		
Destin	I	2020			
State	22, 23		2021		
AL		137			
BG		132	2		
IT		22	69		
NO	NO 2 1				
UK			41		
Total		293	113		
5.3. Have you es		y IT tool to monitor the catch cer	rtificates you have validated for fish caught		
☐ Yes		$ extrm{ iny No}$			

 $\boxtimes No$

EU?

 \square Yes

2021)

5.4. Do you monitor that the catches for which you have validated catch certificates actually leave the

□ Not applicable (e.g. in the absence of validation of catch certificates for exportation in 2020-

²¹ Section to be filled-in by flag Member States. ²² ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

²³ It is recommended that the validation of a catch certificate for exportation takes place when the country of destination is known.

5.5	December 2021?	the validation of a catch certificate between 1 January 2020 and 31
	☐ Yes	oxtimes No
	☐ Not applicable (e.g. in t in 2020-2021)	he absence of request for validation of catch certificates for exportation
	If yes, please detail:	
	Reason:	
		on checks and verifications of catch certificates and related cles 16.1 and 17.1-5 of IUU Regulation ²⁴
6.1	. Has your country established 16.1?	ed a procedure for checks of catch certificates in accordance with Article
	x Yes	\square No
Th	b) Check the Fishing vesselist RFMO websites Int c) Crosscheck the species d) Check the flag State Vo (if possible) of the pers e) Crosscheck the exporte if the flag state has da f) Crosscheck the transport c. Do you check all catch cert from the flag States in acc	thority (SMS database DGMARE website: List of flag State notifications) als for IUU activities according to the regulations of EU (EU IUU vessels egrated IUU vessels list Mutual Assistance notes), the quantities and the CN code with the health certificate alidation Authority (stamp of the authority, the name and the signature on who validate the Catch Certificate) (SMS database) are with the Bill of landing/CMR/Airway bill and the health certificate and the base with CC, then we check this data base (eg. USA) are details with the Bill of landing/CMR/Airway bill ifficates in light of the information provided in the notifications received ordance with Article 20 (i.e. if all required data are provided in a catch correspond to the notification from the flag State in question)?
	targeting of catch certificat number of catch certifi	0 and 2021 on the basis of risk management used (i.e. for risk-based es to be checked); and cates checked, respectively:
	Please fill-in the table belo	w:

²⁴ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

	20	20	202	1
Flag State (EU or non- EU)[1]	Overall number of catch certificates received	Number of catch certificates <u>checked</u>	Overall number of catch certificates received	Number of catch certificates <u>checked</u>
AL	12	12	5	5
AR	96	96	132	132
AU	0	0	4	4
BZ	1	1	2	2
CA	61	61	124	124
CL	0	0	1	1
CN	139	139	220	220
EC	39	39	23	23
EG	1	1	5	5
FO	0	0	1	1
GH	2	2	4	4
GL	7	7	1	1
GM	4	4	17	17
ID	163	163	308	308
IN	344	344	493	493
IS	14	14	74	74
JM	0	0	7	7
KI	1	1	0	0
KE	1	1	9	9
KR	7	7	8	8
MA	173	173	192	192
MG	5	5	6	6
MM	0	0	1	1
MR	61	61	52	52
MV	12	12	17	17
MX	16	16	24	24
NA	8	8	10	10
NG	15	15	0	0
NO	43	43	58	58
NZ	139	139	193	193
OM	5	5	1	1
PA	13	13	12	12
PE	54	54	72	72
PG	2	2	4	4
PH	82	82	85	85
RU	84	84	64	64

SB	3	3	1	1
SC	0	0	1	1
SN	927	927	1372	1372
TH	24	24	29	29
TN	6	6	31	31
TR	712	712	932	932
TW	25	25	14	14
UK	0	0	31	31
US	89	89	66	66
UY	7	7	9	9
VN	14	14	28	28
ZA	47	47	79	79
ES	29	29	30	30
FR	7	7	7	7
PT	1	1	0	0
NL	0	0	1	1
HR	0	0	3	3
UK	10	10		
	3458	3458	4822	4822

	what do you check in catch certificates in accordance v	vith Article 16.1? Please describe:
6.3	6.3. Has your country established a procedure for verification of accordance with Article 17.2?	catch certificates for importation in
	\square Yes \boxtimes No	
	If yes, please detail:	
6.4	6.4. Do you verify all catch certificates linked to consignment importation into the EU or do you verify only part of the catch management?	• •
	· ·	part by applying risk management rifications
6.5	6.5. If you apply risk management to verifications (Article 17.3 Commission Regulation (EC) 1010/2009) or national criteria verifications shall focus?	
	X Union criteria	
	If you apply Union criteria, please detail the methodology used always/regularly, often, occasionally, never):	,

- 1. No refusals of import
- 2. Country investigated by DG MARE

- 3. Non-cooperating country
- 4. Ability to issue electronic certificates
- 5. New country
- 6. For direct & indirect imports
 - Kilos/cntry
 - CCs/cntry
 - Verification
 - N_o of imports

For statements

- Kilos/cntry
- N_o of imports

If you apply national	criteria, please detail	them and the methodology	used:

......

6.6. Please provide information on the risks identified in 2020 and 2021 respectively (Article 32 of Commission Regulation (EC) 1010/2009) on the basis of the criteria used. Please also provide information on whether all consignments identified as risky have been covered by verifications. If this is not the case, please specify the percentage of consignments identified as risky that have been covered by verifications and the reasons of such situation.

Λ	VON	/																	

6.7. How many catch certificates have been verified by your administration from 1 January 2020 until 31 December 2021? Please specify, separately for each year:

	20	20	2021				
Flag State (EU or non- EU)[1]	Overall number of catch certificates received	Number of catch certificates verified	Overall number of catch certificates received	Number of catch certificates verified			
AL	12	1	5	0			
AR	96	7	132	6			
AU	0		4	1			
BZ	1		2	0			
CA	61	3	124	1			
CL	0		1	1			
CN	139	12	220	8			
EC	39	2	23	3			
EG	1	1	5	0			
FO	0		1				
GH	2		4	1			
GL	7		1	0			
GM	4		17	1			
ID	163	13	308	12			
IN	344	26	493	21			
IS	14	1	74	1			
JM	0		7				

КІ	1	1	0	
KE	1	1	9	0
KR	7	4	8	2
MA	173	8	192	6
MG	5		6	0
MM	0		1	1
MR	61	4	52	4
MV	12	6	17	5
MX	16	1	24	0
NA	8	1	10	0
NG	15		0	0
NO	43	2	58	2
NZ	139	16	193	11
ОМ	5		1	0
PA	13	1	12	0
PE	54	1	72	3
PG	2	1	4	1
PH	82	4	85	4
RU	84	10	64	9
SB	3	1	1	0
SC	0		1	1
SN	927	59	1372	45
TH	24	1	29	2
TN	6	3	31	0
TR	712	19	932	22
TW	25		14	1
UK	0		31	1
US	89	11	66	8
UY	7		9	0
VN	14	1	28	1
ZA	47	4	79	2
ES	29		30	
FR	7		7	
PT	1		0	
NL	0		1	
HR	0		3	
UK	10		0	
	3505	226	4863	187

Please reply to the following questions:

- How many catch certificates have you verified in the context of the application of Article 17.4?
- How many catch certificates have you verified on the basis of risk identified in accordance with Article 17.3?
 347
- How many catch certificates have been verified at random (Article 17.5)?

6.8. Does you	r country also physically verify the co	nsigr	ments?				
☐ Yes	riangleq No						
If yes, ple	ase detail:						
Number	(per year) and percentage	in	relation	to	all	verifications	made:
	f selection:	•••••	•••••				
Section 7.	Assistance requests to third coun	ıtries	25				
•	sent assistance requests for verifications' authorities in 2020-2021?	ns un	nder Article	17.6	of the	IUU Regulation	to other
⊠ Yes	\square No						

If yes, how many assistance requests for verifications? *Note: please provide separate data for 2020 and 2021*:

Flag States[1]	No of assistance requests for verifications	Justification	No of assistance requests for verifications	Justification		
	2020		2021			
AR	2	17.4	13	17.4		
BZ			1	17.4		
CA	1	17.4	1	17.4		
CN	2	17.4				
EC	3	17.4	1	17.4		
GM	1	17.4	2	17.4		
ID			2	17.4		
IN	1	17.4				
KR	1	17.4				
MA	1	17.4	1	17.4		
MR	6	17.4	2	17.4		
MV			4	17.4		
NG	1	17.4				
NZ			1	17.4		
PE	1	17.4				
RU	1	17.4				
SN	2	17.4	11	17.4		
UK			1	17.4		
US	1	17.4				
UY			1	17.4		
TOTAL	24		41			

-

²⁵ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

7.2. How many assistance requests for verification were not replied to by the other flag States' authorities within the deadline provided in Article 17.6 of the IUU Regulation? In these cases, do you send a reminder to the authorities of the country in question? Could you please specify when the assistance request, despite reminder(s), remained unanswered? What measures have you taken in that case (i.e. denial of importation) [Please provide separate data for 2020 and 2021]

2020 non

2021 non

7.3. Was the quality of the answers provided overall sufficient to satisfy the assistance request? If no, please explain why the quality was not sufficient, and the measures you have taken in such case(s).

Yes

7.4. Have you sent assistance requests to other countries than the flag State? If yes, please specify the number, the reasons and the countries concerned, and the quality of the feedback provided.

Yes, at the processed countries, we send 3 requests to China as processing country, we have satisfactory replies

7.5. Have you been using IT systems developed by third countries allowing for a full or partial verification of catch certificates and how many verifications were made through these systems (approximately)?

Yes, our country use all the available IT systems development by third counties. We verify all CCs from these countries. Exept the countries which didn't gave us access eg Russia and S. Africa

Section 8. Information on refusal of importations (Article 18 of the IUU Regulation)²⁶

8.1. Has your country refused any imports from 1 January 2020 until 31 December 2021? Note: please
only consider refusals based on the IUU Regulation, not for other reasons e.g. Food Safety
legislation, Customs legislation, etc.

If yes, please provide details in the table below:

 \square No

 $\boxtimes Yes$

Reason for refusal of	2020		2021	
importation	Flag State ²⁷	No.	Flag State ²⁸	No.
Non-submission of a catch certificate for products to be imported.				
The products intended for importation are not the same as those mentioned in the catch certificate.				
The catch certificate is not validated by the notified public authority of the flag State	ID	1	OM	1
The catch certificate does not indicate all the required information.				
The importer is not in a position to prove that the fishery products comply with the conditions of Article 14.1 or 2.				
A fishing vessel figuring on the catch certificate as vessel of origin of the catches is included in the EU IUU vessel list or in the IUU vessel lists referred to in Article 30.				
The catch certificate has been validated by the authorities of a flag State identified as a non-cooperating State in accordance with Article 31				
Further to the request for verification (Article 18.2)				

8.2. If the answer to 8.1 is yes, what measures were taken by your authorities in relation to the consignments refused (Article 18.3)?

The products were returned to Flag State under the supervision of the customs authorities

21

²⁶ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

²⁷ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

²⁸ ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

	In case of refusal of imports country?	ation, did any operator contest the decision of the authorities of your
	☐ Yes	oxtimes No
I	If yes, please detail:	
Sect	ion 9. Information on	trade flows ²⁹
patte		ed on your statistical data, concerning any important change of trade oducts into your country since the last reporting period covering 2018-
The	statistical test performed wi	th the t test (unknown variance, small sample)
		≠ m ₀] at 0.44 (2018 / 2019- 2020/2021)
	-	at 0.35 (2018 / 2019- 2020/2021)
Shov	wed that there were no chan	ges in trade flows.
		40
Sect	ion 10. Information on	mutual assistance ³⁰
	. Since the last reporting ex	mutual assistance ³⁰ tercise covering the period 2018-2019, how many mutual assistance on (DG MARE B4) has your country replied to? ³¹
	. Since the last reporting ex messages of the Commission	tercise covering the period 2018-2019, how many mutual assistance
	. Since the last reporting ex messages of the Commission	tercise covering the period 2018-2019, how many mutual assistance on (DG MARE B4) has your country replied to? ³¹
	. Since the last reporting exmessages of the Commission Please provide separate da	tercise covering the period 2018-2019, how many mutual assistance on (DG MARE B4) has your country replied to? ³¹
10.1	. Since the last reporting exmessages of the Commission Please provide separate data 2020 ALL 2021 ALL . Since the last reporting exe	tercise covering the period 2018-2019, how many mutual assistance on (DG MARE B4) has your country replied to? ³¹
10.1	. Since the last reporting exmessages of the Commission Please provide separate da 2020 ALL 2021 ALL Since the last reporting exe assistance message to the Commission of the	tercise covering the period 2018-2019, how many mutual assistance on (DG MARE B4) has your country replied to? ³¹ ta for 2020 and 2021 (if any) rcise covering the period 2018-2019, has your country sent any mutual
10.1	. Since the last reporting exmessages of the Commission Please provide separate da 2020 ALL 2021 ALL Since the last reporting exe assistance message to the Commission of the	tercise covering the period 2018-2019, how many mutual assistance on (DG MARE B4) has your country replied to? ³¹ ta for 2020 and 2021 (if any) rcise covering the period 2018-2019, has your country sent any mutual commission/other Member States?
10.1	. Since the last reporting exmessages of the Commission Please provide separate data 2020 ALL 2021 ALL . Since the last reporting exe assistance message to the Complease provide separate data	tercise covering the period 2018-2019, how many mutual assistance on (DG MARE B4) has your country replied to? ³¹ ta for 2020 and 2021 (if any) rcise covering the period 2018-2019, has your country sent any mutual commission/other Member States?

 ²⁹ Section to be filled-in by all Member States
 ³⁰ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

³¹ Please provide the number of all replies, regardless of their content i.e. including replies which, for example, only confirmed that the request was not relevant for your country. Then please specify the number of cases where you took action and describe the actions taken.

Section 11. Information on cooperation with third countries³²

Apart from assistance requests in the context of verifications of catch certificates and accompanying documents foreseen under the catch certification scheme (Article 17.6), has your country had other exchanges with third countries on issues related to the implementation of the IUU Regulation, such as follow-up of cases concerning nationals, trade flows, operators, private fishing licencing, re-flagging operations, investigations of cases of IUU fishing (Article 42) and investigations of criminal activities associated to IUU fishing?

 \boxtimes Yes \square No

If yes, please detail (please provide separate data for 2020 and 2021, if any).

As regards fishing vessel in the context of an investigation in progress, conducted by the Greek authorities in cooperation with MARE D-4 and DG MARE IUU Fisheries Policy unit, for suspected IUU fishing activities of the said vessel in Madagascar, the Greek authorities sent a new letter on 05.06.2020 to Madagascar authorities where detailed information was requested on the fishing activity of the vessel, its ownership and registration status. In addition, the Madagascar authorities were asked to take action if it was true that vessel flied the flags of both Greece and Madagascar, since this would be in violation of Article 92 of the International Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS, 1982), according to which "a ship sailing with the flags of two or more states, using them for its convenience, cannot invoke any of these nationalities against any other state and can be equated with a ship without nationality".

As a consequence, according to Article 3 par. 1 (l) of Council Regulation (EC) 1005/2008, this vessels should be presumed to be engaged in IUU fishing if it is shown that they have no nationality and are therefore stateless vessels, in accordance with international law. In such a case, both flag States would be liable for this condition.

Furthermore, according to the provisions of the national legislation, the Greek authorities proceeded to the permanent withdrawal of the fishing licenses of the vessel and as a consequence it has been unregistered from the national and the European fishing fleet registers.

The Madagascar authorities replied on 10.07.2020 that is temporarily naturalized in Madagascar since 2011, is owned by the company formed under Madagascar Law and is licensed for fishing in Madagascar territorial waters. Since they declared unaware of the Greek flag status of the vessel they committed to further investigate the case.

The Greek authorities sent a new letter requesting detailed information on the legal and administrative consequences of the naturalization act for the company and the f/v vessel, especially regarding the flag status, the ownership, the fishing rights, the liabilities according to Malagasy legislation.

Since there has been no further reply by Madagascar authorities, the DG MARE IUU Fisheries Policy unit sent an e-mail on 11.06.2021 to Madagascar authorities requesting the outcome of the investigation. The case is still pending.

-As regards fishing vessel in the context of an investigation in progress, conducted by the Greek authorities in cooperation with MARE D-4 and DG MARE IUU Fisheries Policy unit, for suspected IUU fishing activities of the said vessel in Madagascar, the Greek authorities sent a new letter on 05.06.2020 to Madagascar authorities where detailed information was requested on the fishing activity of the vessel, its ownership and registration status. In addition, the Madagascar authorities were asked to take action if it was true that f/v vessel flied the flags of both Greece and Madagascar, since this would be in violation of Article 92

_

³² Section to be filled-in by all Member States

of the International Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS, 1982), according to which "a ship sailing with the flags of two or more states, using them for its convenience, cannot invoke any of these nationalities against any other state and can be equated with a ship without nationality".

As a consequence, according to Article 3 par. 1 (1) of Council Regulation (EC) 1005/2008, this vessels should be presumed to be engaged in IUU fishing if it is shown that they have no nationality and are therefore stateless vessels, in accordance with international law. In such a case, both flag States would be liable for this condition.

Furthermore, according to the provisions of the national legislation, the Greek authorities proceeded to the permanent withdrawal of the fishing licenses of the vessel and as a consequence it has been unregistered from the national and the European fishing fleet registers.

The Madagascar authorities replied on 10.07.2020 that f/v is temporarily naturalized in Madagascar since 2011, is owned by the company formed under Madagascar Law and is licensed for fishing in Madagascar territorial waters.

Since they declared unaware of the Greek flag status of the vessel they committed to further investigate the case.

The Greek authorities sent a new letter requesting detailed information on the legal and administrative consequences of the naturalization act for the company and the f/v vessel, especially regarding the flag status, the ownership, the fishing rights, the liabilities according to Malagasy legislation.

Since there has been no further reply by Madagascar authorities, the DG MARE IUU Fisheries Policy unit sent an e-mail on 11.06.2021 to Madagascar authorities requesting the outcome of the investigation. The case is still pending.

-As regards fishing vessels

during the period 2020-2021 they have been inactive and there were no contacts between Greek and Third Countries authorities.

-As regards fishing vessel it has been unregistered from the Greek register on 24-03-2020 following the transfer of the vessel's ownership to the company formed under Gambia Law.

Section 12. Information on nationals³³

For the reporting period in question, a separate call for information on nationals supporting/engaging in IUU fishing activities has been sent to the Single Liaison Offices of Member States and EFCA as part of a study on the implementation of Articles 39 and 40 of the IUU Regulation. As a result, this section of the biennial reports will be replaced for this reporting period by the more specialised call for data for the abovementioned study.

Member States are kindly asked to provide their input to the external consultant in charge of the study. The Commission will evaluate the responses of Member States and include them in its overall assessment of the biennial reports.

As regards this Question, see the detailed answers to the data call of the Study on Member State implementation and enforcement of Articles 39 and 40 of the IUU Regulation, provided on website: https://survey.alchemer.eu/s3/90422750/IUU-Study.

_

³³ Section to be filled-in by all Member States

Section 13. Infringements (Chapter IX of the IUU Regulation) and Sightings (Chapter X of the IUU Regulation)³⁴

•	y detected serious infring 2020 until 31 December 20		d in Article 42 of the	IUU Regulation
☐ Yes	\square No			
If yes, please d	etail separately for each	year the number	of serious infringem	ents, nature and

sanctions applied:

Flag State of the vessel or nationality of	Serious infringements detected in 2020:		Serious infringements detected in 2021:			
the operator (EU and non- EU) ³⁵	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied
AL				6	1. fishing without a valid permit issued by the coastal State 2. use of noncompliant fishing gear 3. not fulfilled its obligations to record and report catch data	1. Fine 2. Catch confiscation 3. Gear confiscation
IT				2	1. fishing without a valid permit issued by the coastal State 2. obstructed the work of officials in the exercise of their duties in inspecting for compliance	It was not possible to apply sanctions. Sighting reports were forwarded to the flag state.

 ³⁴ Section to be filled-in by all Member States
 35ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

Flag State of the vessel or nationality of	Serious infringements detected in 2020:			Serious infringements detected in 2021:		
the operator (EU and non- EU) ³⁵	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied	Number	Nature	Sanctions applied
					with the applicable conservation and management measures	
Total		1	1		5	

13.2.	Article 44?	or adapted its levels of administrative sanctions in accordance with
	\square Yes	oxtimes No
	If yes, please detail:	
	•	anctions? If yes, please specify if in addition to or in replacement of
13.3.	Has your country issued si	ghting reports from 1 January 2020 until 31 December 2021?
	\boxtimes Yes	\square No
	If yes, how many sighting December 2021?	g reports were issued by your country from 1 January 2020 until 31

Flag State of the sighted vessel(EU and non-EU) ³⁶	No of sighting reports issued in 2020	No of sighting reports issued in 2021
TR	690	570
AL	1	
IT	5	5
Total	696	575

³⁶ISO Alpha-2 country codes.

-

		g the period 2018-2019, has your country received any flag from other competent authorities?
☐ Yes	$\boxtimes No$	
If yes, please de	etail follow-up (in accord	ance with Article 50 of the IUU Regulation).

Section 14. General

- 14.1. During the reporting period 2020-2021, what have been the main difficulties that your country has encountered in implementing the IUU Regulation, including the catch certification scheme?
 - No ability to control and verify: i) the listed management measures (in many cases the number of national legislation of the third countries is provided). ii) Whether there are sufficient reasons for adopting simplified CC.
 - Difficulties of control and enforcement of provisions of the IUU legislation for nationals especially when these are not residents of the country or in cases they manage fishing companies that are based in third countries.
 - Problems with the transshipments, the Flag State FV transshipment at another third country and the Fishery products import at EU from other third country (Difficulties to access IMS).
 - Difficulties to control and validation of CC copies that accompany the import of fisheries products which have been processed in a third country other than the flag State when the amounts of CC and import cargo are not identified.

Section 15. Any other comment

Waiting the NT, we think it will solve many of the above mentioned problems.

• • •